	1
1	SCRANTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING
2	
3	
4	
5	HELD:
6	
7	Thursday, June 1, 2017
8	
9	LOCATION:
10	Council Chambers
11	Scranton City Hall
12	340 North Washington Avenue
13	Scranton, Pennsylvania
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	CATHENE & NADDOZZI DDD GEICIAL COURT DEDORTED
24	CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
25	

п

CITY OF SCRANTON COUNCIL: JOSEPH WECHSLER, PRESIDENT PATRICK ROGAN, VICE-PRESIDENT WAYNE EVANS WILLIAM GAUGHAN TIM PERRY LORI REED, CITY CLERK KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR 

	3
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
5	MR. PERRY: Here.
6	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
7	MR. ROGAN: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
13	MR. WECHSLER: Here.
14	MR. EVANS: I make a motion to table
15	Item 7-J.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. EVANS: There's a motion on the
18	floor and a second to table Item 7-J, on the
19	question?
20	MR. PERRY: Yes, just on the
21	question, I'm glad that we are going to take
22	a look at this. This is in regard to the
23	the outside seating of the Posh Restaurant
24	downtown. There was a question about if
25	there was going to be adequate walkway space

1 and it just so happens that Mr. Sweeney and Mr. Evans had an encounter this weekend 2 3 downtown and took a look at it, and just revisit the plans on that and we hope to 4 5 have something next week to get this back on the table. 6 7 MR. WECHSLER: All those in favor 8 signify by saying aye. 9 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. EVANS: Aye. 10 11 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 12 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 14 ayes have it and so moved. Dispense with the reading of the minutes. 15 16 MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A. MINUTES 17 OF THE SCRANTON-LACKAWANNA HEALTH AND 18 WELFARE AUTHORITY'S REGULAR MEETING HELD 19 MARCH 16, 2017. MS. REED: Are there any comments? If 20 21 not, received and filed. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Do any council members 23 have announcements at this time? 24 MR. PERRY: I have one announcement. 25 Divine Mercy Church is having their annual

church picnic. It's going to be today, tomorrow and Saturday, it starts at 6:00 to 11:00. It's always a good time, great food, just like all our church picnic in the City of Scranton are. This one is absolutely no different. Please stop down and have a good time with your kids. It's a lot of fun. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Scranton City Council had hold a work session to discuss the citywide graffiti issue. It has been scheduled for this Monday, June 5, at 4:30 in the Governor's Room, second floor of city hall. It is open to the public, however, there will no time allocated for public participation.

Another reminder of the electronic recycling program that will begin June 12, and extend through June 26. Your items should be placed curbside for the DPW to collect. Each household will be allowed one television and one computer monitor. Please be aware that pick up for these items may occur at any time, not necessarily on your garbage scheduled date. Please be prepared

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to have your items ready and place curbside for June 12.

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS' PARTICIPATION.

MR. WECHSLER: Joan Hodowanitz.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Joan Hodowanitz, Scranton resident and taxpayer. I'm wearing my Friends of the Scranton Public Library t-shirt. First thing I want to do is I want to thank everyone from the council, administration and the city who came out and supported the library last Friday night at Swingin' on Vine. It was a wonderful night and we raised some money for the library so thank you. Now, shame on you those who you didn't come, okay? So it's every year the last Friday in May. Put it on your calendar for 2018. I want no excuses, no vacations, I don't want to hear that jazz, and those of you had failed to make it last Friday night you will make amends at our next book sale which will be at the Marketplace at Steamtown, second floor in front of the gym, June 6 through 11, Tuesday through Friday the hours are 10 to 8, Saturday 10 to 5, and

Sunday 11 to 5. We sell books and DVD's and CD's anywhere between one and two dollars.

It's a bargain for you and it's a bargain for the library.

And speaking of the books, I hope you saw the little article in the paper this week about the community group installs a little free library at Connors Park, these things are extremely successful, so in in case you haven't heard books rule, and it's a great thing for children to during the summer. For those who are you little munchkins and carpet commandos, I"m talking about you, Bill.

Okay, the Knowle's Insurance list, is that still plotting it's way towards corrections?

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, it is.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, hopefully two weeks from tonight we might have a list?

MR. WECHSLRE: Yes.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And I hope that's going to be made shared with the public.

MR. WECHSLER: Business Administrator
Bulzoni was meeting with Roseann Novembrino

this week concerning it.

MS. HODOWANITZ: I suspect we won't get any rebates for prior years, but I just wonder how much money the city spent insuring the Scranton Public Library since 2010 when they didn't own it, but that's the way it goes.

Again, remind you about that annual financial report which was due out in May sitting somewhere in limbo because the controllers' numbers don't match the independent auditor's numbers. Maybe something you want to think about because if it's -- if the number of discrepancies are significant enough that the controller won't let it go forward, what kind of impact does that have on the 2017 budget and the 2018 budget? Something you may want to think about.

All right, the third party
administrator, and I would really like to
get an official idea of where we stand. I
went to the bid opening, there was own one
bider, Access Medical Evaluations out of
Michigan formed in 2005 with the magnificent

staff of three people and an annual revenue of -- not profit but revenue, of \$119,100. This is our nationally recognized third party administrator. I heard you mention in caucus that they very well get the contract even though they the only bidder.

MR. WECHSLER: No, you may have misheard. What we are saying is they are being determined if the bid was responsible or not.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Well, they were missing affidavits.

MR. WECHSLER: That's -- there is nothing about award, it's just if they were responsible.

MR. EVANS: That's up to the city solicitor to review and determine and that's where we are at now.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, because I understand that we are waiting on the trust agreement based upon this third party administrator so we're kind of in a holding pattern and I don't think that's very good for the city.

The town hall meeting, where and

when?

MR. WECHSLER: I contacted Mayor

Courtright today and he has not established
a date or place yet.

MS. HODOWANITZ: Okay, you might
want to chose a stadium. I think there is

want to chose a stadium. I think there is is going to be a lot of public interest.

That's just my own opinion.

And any cost estimate on repairs to the Nay Aug tree house?

MR. WECHSLER: I spoke to Director Fallon this week and our city engineer is still doing a review of the tree house.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And, remember, 29 days to June 30 when we should be seeing the 2016 audit. Has there been any change to that projection?

MR. WECHSLER: Not that I'm aware of the.

MS. HODOWANITZ: And it's only five months and one week until the general election and I do hope that everybody takes the time to attend this town hall meeting and ask the questions that are going to effect their bills for years to come. Where

3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

I live at the Forum our condo fee is going up dramatically, sewer and water raises, okay, we pay them, too. So people should stand up for their own interest. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Danielle Ratchko.

MS. RATCHKO: Hi. I'm here tonight to speak because I'm the owner of 1120 Columbia Street, which from what I've heard has been something that's come up every week at this meeting. I purchased this property in June of 2016 for a dollar off a close family friend. The deed was recorded on June 6, 2016, and all of the property taxes were paid at this point and all of the utilities were in service, and I received the key for this property in August of 2016.

In October of 2016, I noticed a condemned notice on the front window. This was the only notice I received that there were any issues with the property. When I contacted the Office of Licensing,
Inspections and Permits, I was told to come to the office to talk to someone in regards to this matter. On Friday, October 28, I came to the office and was informed that the

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

property was condemned since August 28 and that it was because it was considered vacant and abandoned and there were several complaints from neighbors over several years and that there were several notices sent from the office.

The letter that was on top of the file, which was the most recent notification, was addressed to the prior The office informed me that since I am now the current owner, I have to go through the condemned property policy process even though I only owned the property for two months, never had any warnings, and never knew about any of the complaints that the property was going to be If anyone had informed me of the condemned. complaints or issues, I would have remedied them immediately. Since I had already had all of the mail for 1120 being forward to my current address in South Scranton, I would pick up any residual mail from the mailbox on give them to the prior owners. So when I saw that this was addressed to them I contacted them and asked if I had given them

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

anything from the City of Scranton and she said that I did and she gave me the unopened envelope along with the certified mail slip. The envelope had a postmark date on it of September 29, 2016. This is 32 days after the house was supposedly condemned or since it was condemned. I shouldn't say supposedly.

By mailing this 32 days late and to the wrong people that's forfeited my right to appeal the condemnation and also forfeited my ability to prevent any utilities from getting pulled. When I spoke to PPL they said that the electric was pulled on September 30, 2016. This was one day after the notice was mailed. In June 2016, when the deed was originally transferred, I had gone to Fidelity Bank to secure financing to rehabilitate the house so that my family and I could move in and the bank informed me that because it was a one dollar transaction that I would have to become seasoned or own the property for six months to a year to before they would do any financing on it.

In the meantime, two months later, the city turned around and condemned the property which put any refinancing and renovations to a standstill at this point. I don't think that the house should have been condemned due to being vacant and abandoned in this case since I only owned it for two months. All of the taxes and utilities were paid and on and I wanted to do some renovation work to it before my family and I moved into it. It's not uncommon for somebody to buy a house and not move into it right away if they want to get work done to it.

I have spoken to various attorneys on this and nobody seems to want to respond to me or address the matter. On Tuesday, May 23, last week, I was contacted via Facebook by one of the neighbors on Columbia Street asking if I was the owner of the property. She informed me via the message that there were possums and racoons living under the front porch. I would like to thank her for informing me and I hired a company to trap and remove these animals. Traps are

2

3

4

6

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

set out there now.

Last Friday, I received a letter in my mailbox in South Side that was from the City of Scranton and it was addressed to my maiden name and the three prior owners. The letter was a demolition notice that the house would demolished if it is not fully rehabilitated within the next 30 days and that there would be a line put on me for the demolition costs. It also states in the letter that it's being up for condemnation because it has not been at a regulated temperature for the last nine months, which in this case is the city's fault because I never got notified of anything to even prevent them from pulling the electricity.

I also received a fine in the mailbox and that fine was addressed to the prior owners, so again, I don't know who's supposed to pay that and it states that the grass and weeds were overgrown, but this isn't possible because I had landscaping out there on May 12, which was a week before May 19.

I haven't even owned this house a

year. I want it and still want the house to be fully rehabilitated so my family and I can live there. I want to raise my child in a nice neighborhood and I do not want to be the thorn on the side of everybody in that neighborhood. I would like to have this rectified.

MR. PERRY: Yeah, thank you for coming tonight.

MR. RADTKO: Thank you.

MR. PERRY: I brought this up last two weeks and I didn't get a chance to talk to you today before the meeting, but I'm glad you showed up and I hope you stick around after so we can talk further.

MS. RADTKO: I will.

MR. PERRY: One of the issues that you brought up that I'll have some back and a forth questions on is some of the timeline as far as, you know, if you moved in and the utilities were up and running and taxes were paid, you know, what triggered the condemnation notice. You know, that would be a question I would have for our director and, you know, not knowing what the inside

of the property is or the condition of the building itself I would have and that's a legitimate question. If I was to buy a house and taxes were paid and utilities are on and, like you said, temperature was regulated that's your time when you are going to get stuff done to the house before you move in. That's kind of -- I mean, we have realtors on the board right now and they would tell you the same thing.

So, yeah, I would like to talk more with you on this because the last thing we want to see is a homeowner come into the city or move from one side of the city to the other and, you know, not get every possible chance, you know, to take advantage of that.

MR. EVANS: Can I ask you were you denied an opportunity to appeal the condemnation?

MS. RADTKO: I was told by the city that because it was so late that I had gotten the condemnation notice that I would have to go through all of the normal condemnation policy procedures. I did speak

to the director and he said he would waive the bond fee considering the circumstances, but he still wanted me to go through and pay for all of the inspections in order for the electric to be put back in as well as go through several inspections for the inside of the house and the outside of the house. I have never heard of anybody having to get city inspections or being condemned after only owning something two months. I mean, I have my house in South Side, I hired my own private --

MR. EVANS: No, I agree. You are being penalized for happened prior to your ownership and this is simply an example of a policy that's going awry and it's being enforced I think incorrectly, so we are trying to look at this, but you are caught in the cross hairs and it's simply not right, so let's see what we can do.

MR. WECHSLER: I think one of the things, Mrs. Reed, would you be able to check on the demolition list and see where it is currently because I believe you said it's within the next 30 days.

MS. RADKTO: That's what the letter said. I did up there to collect an appeal form and they said it's not on the current demolition, it's on the demolition list for the fall.

MR. WECHSLER: We just want to make sure that it doesn't get on the wrong list.

MR. EVANS: We had this stopped once before, it was scheduled last year.

MR. WECHSLER: So the first thing we can do is verify that it's not scheduled for demolition any time soon and try to prevent it from being -- get it off that list.

MS. RADTKO: Okay, and then the reason, because I did speak to the director at the end of October, and he basically -- his reasoning was because it was a point of contention with the Neighborhood Association for a ten years. I don't see how that should apply to me.

MR. PERRY: Well, it's a new owner of the building so they should have welcomed that. Like, that would be my assumption.

Things might now happen as fast as they would want it to, but, you know, they should

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

give the new owner every chance to get the home up to where it should be to, you know, just for the overall neighborhood because that was one of the complaints they had a couple of weeks ago and, you know, they even came to me and said, "Look, the grass isn't taken care of."

And then, you know, as far as any of the animals that has been taken care of so, you know, just based on that we can see there is active ownership in the house going on and, trust us, we have seen condemned properties up and down the city and we know where there is energy being put into maintain that house and when there is just a walkaway situation and this doesn't seem like a walkaway situation so we want to make sure that they -- as Mr. Wechsler said, we slow down and stop the condemnation as far as the demolition process and give us time to sort this out and get you back on the track that you want to be.

MR. RADTKO: I did speak to the neighborhood association, they stated that they never really wanted the house

condemned, they just wanted the outside fixed up.

MR. WECHSLER: I think we all have your contact information, but if you could just leave it with Kathy so Mrs. Reed have access to it. If you could just give it to her after the meeting.

 $\mbox{MS. RADTKO: Sure, no problem.} \label{eq:ms.rad}$  Thank you.

MR. PERRY: Thank you for coming. I appreciate that.

MS. RADTKO: Thank you for listening.

MR. WECHSLER: Les Spindler.

MR. SPINDLER: Good evening, Council.

Les Spindler, city resident, homeowner and taxpayer. I think it was three weeks ago a gentleman was here and he talked about the cleaning of Legget's Creek for years so it was a large number of years, I forget how many it was, and he talked about all of things he has taken out of there and it sound like a real environmental issue. I didn't hear the end of his talk, did council do anything about that?

MR. GAUGHAN: I actually spoke to him

today on the phone and I'm going to take a ride up there, he is going to show me the area, and I reached out to Bernie McGurl from the River Corridor Association, haven't heard back from him yet, but I have a really interesting conversation with that gentleman today.

MR. SPINDLER: That sounds like a real environmental issue, some of the stuff he has taken out of there.

MR. WECHSLER: Yeah, when he was here he didn't request us to do anything. He just wanted to inform of his situation.

MR. SPINDLER: Listen, I think a letter should be sent to DEP to go and take a look at that because I know where Legget's Creek goes, and maybe it goes into Lackawanna, I think it's a serious issue. I think the DEP should definitely take a look at that.

Okay. Next thing, finally the blocks of North Sumner Avenue that I have been complaining about for two years probably were paved recently, just hope it stays like that. I hope the utilities don't

come dig it up right away and I was wondering does anyone know did the utilities pay for that? I hope they did, not the city.

MR. ROGAN: That was paid for by the utilities.

MR. SPINDLER: Okay, that's a good thing because they are the ones that dug it all up. Beautiful now, I hope it stays that way.

Next thing, another subject that I have been talking on awhile now, Councilman Perry, I spoke about this last time I was here and you said you would look into Dorothy Street, the whole there, and I said it has subsided quite a bit since it was filled in, did you hear anything?

MR. PERRY: No, Mr. Spindler, I didn't hear anything else on that.

MR. SPINDLER: Yeah, because the ground has subsided, you know, it's settled around the storm drain. It's a pretty big depression there and also by the sidewalk.

MR. PERRY: It's still like the depression there it hasn't been --

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SPINDLER: There is no hole, but the ground has sunk and maybe DPW, I think all that -- just some good soil has to be thrown in there and filled in probably.

Maybe DPW can do that.

Lastly tonight, a bone of Okay. contention for years and years is the illegal garbage tax, you know, give people a break \$30 if you pay before a certain time, \$60 if you own a double, which I do, I couldn't come up with \$540 in one shot to pay that. And, you know, being that they are giving people a discount it just proves they don't really need that money. The only reason that was put in was to pay off the tipping fees, and as I said many times, the tipping fees were paid off last Mayor Connors wanted to do away with that fee when he was in office, but his council wouldn't let him. Again, it's another shot at the taxpayers and more money taken out of their pockets, and I hope something is done fairly soon because this -- we pay our property taxes and have our garbage picked up, I have said that for

1 about 12, 13 years now, but nobody listens. You people just don't care. You don't do 2 3 anything for the people there and it showed on election day. So I hope you finally do 4 5 something and get rid of this illegal garbage tax. That's all I have tonight. 6 7 Thank you. 8 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. 9 MR. WECHSLER: Fay Franus. 10 MS. FRANUS: Speaking of the garbage fee, Mr. Eavns, do you know how that study 11 12 is coming as far as what the rates will be? 13 MR. EVANS: I'm sorry? 14 MS. FRANUS: Do you know when the study will be completed as far as when the 15 16 rates will be? 17 MR. EVANS: That's what will be 18 decided in the study. No, we don't know. 19 We will certain be able to participate in 20 that discussion when we have the time, 21 opportunity. 22 MS. FRANUS: They have gotten the 23 money last June and it hasn't started yet? 24 You said --25 MR. EVANS: Well, the study has been

started, we just haven't gotten the results yet.

MS. FRANUS: Would you please check this?

MR. EVANS: Definitely.

MS. FRANUS: Also, as far as the election and the mayor, does anybody there appear to know when the study will be done for the storm water fee? I mean, from what I understand Mayor Courtright want to have that amount that the people probably will have to pay for the storm water fee after the election deliberately. So I hope every member on council that really care about the people, you will contact Mayor Courtright and find out what's going on with that storm water study because to have that study done after the election is totally political.

Just like no taxes this year,

Mr. Wechsler, you said that has nothing to
do with the election. It had everything to
do with the election. Same with the street
paving. That's nice, but when you could
have used this money to put toward the storm
water to lessen the cost for the people

that's what you should have done. I mean, the sewer -- the water bills anymore are astronomical because of the water line protection and the sewer line protection went up, so this is why the people need to know what that storm water fee is going to be.

Also, I understand that you received the legal bills, invoices from the lawyers from the Sewer Authority deal today; is that correct?

MR. GAUGHAN: Um-hum.

MR. EVANS: Around 5:00 today.

MS. FRANUS: Pardon me?

MR. EVANS: About 5:00 today they came in. They finally came in.

MR. FRANUS: They came in. Now, I don't believe there should be a town hall meeting. I mean, I think unless the people, every person there that went, was able to ask any questions they wanted, not set up questions by certain people and televised, but there is no need for a town hall meeting.

As far as the audit goes, there is

no reason for Mayor Courtright to say you don't need an audit. If he has nothing to hide, why not have an audit? There is nothing to hide. This only tell me there is plenty to hide.

Now, as far as the legal fees,
Attorney Ned Abrahamsen, going back to the
Parking Authority deal not so long ago along
with the Sewer Authority he sent an e-mail
to have \$200,000 disbursed without an
invoice, did any of you ever inquire about
the invoice for Ned Abrahamsen for the
Parking Authority deal?

MR. GAUGHAN: I have asked for all of the invoices from that deal, haven't received them yet.

MS. FRANUS: Haven't seen them, so could you do whatever it takes to get them as well as the Sewer Authority, look how long it took the Sewer Authority. They are definitely hiding something, and not just that, the legal bills are part of it, what about all of the other money that was spent in the Sewer Authority that we know nothing about, the invoices for everything bought,

We

1	looking into that.
2	MR. EVANS: Absolutely.
3	MS. FRANU: It's very important.
4	Now, don't you feel that the clients are the
5	people?
6	MR. EVANS: Always.
7	Taxpayers/ratepayers are always.
8	MS. FRANUS: Well, then how come the
9	sewer deal we're saying as far as the
10	retracted deals client/ lawyer privilege.
11	MR. EVANS: They seemed to have
12	backed off on that, but we haven't had a
13	chance to review the documents.
14	MS. FRANUS: But how much weeks did
15	they say that?
16	MR. EVANS: Oh, I hear you. Yeah.
17	Certainly.
18	MS. FRANUS: Your attorney even said
19	it fully knowing it wasn't true.
20	MR. EVANS: Yeah, the legal
21	description probably is the client is the
22	Sewer Authority, you know
23	MS. FRANUS: Oh, yeah
24	MR. EVANS: The legal definition.
25	MR. FRANUS: They are there to

1 represent us. 2 MR. EVANS: I'm not saying I disagree 3 with that, but overall the 4 taxpayers/ratepayer should also be the end result. 5 MS. FRANUS: That's right because we 6 7 are going to pay, you know? They there to 8 represent us. 9 MR. EVANS: I agree. 10 MS. FRANUS: And this is just 11 ridiculous. Thank you. 12 MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else? 13 MARIE SCHUMACHER: Marie Schumacher. 14 First, I'd like to say I was disappointed last week when nobody announced the city 15 16 program for what been held annually for 17 Memorial Day with the VFW at Nay Aug Park. 18 Some of you may have been there, I didn't 19 see any of you, there were a lot of people. 20 Of course, the weather was bad, but it 21 still --22 MR. WECHSLER: Councilman Evans and I were at the Connors Park at that time. 23 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Who? 25 MR. WECHSLER: At Connors Park.

1 Their ceremony was the same time as Connors Park. 2 3 MS. SCHUMACHER: What time was that just out of curiousity. 4 MR. EVANS: 12 noon. 5 MR. WECHSLER: 12 noon. Held at the 6 7 same time. 8 MS. SCHUMACHER: Because the paper 9 and I guess the mayor's office had stated 10 that the program at Nay Aug started at 11 11 and when people got there at 11 they had to cool their heels and --12 13 MR. WECHSLER: 11:00 was --14 MS. SCHUMACHER: It was at 12:00 so I 15 would appreciate next year if somebody who 16 remains on council would please announce the 17 city sponsored event is held at Nay Aug at 18 Mr. Perry, were you able to find out 19 if that one full-time regular retiree was 20 one of the six that were --21 MR. PERRY: No, I didn't get any new 22 information we talked about last week, Ms. 23 Schumacher. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. 25 Mr. Evans, did you get the budgeted amount

\_\_\_

for the TPA?

MR. EVANS: From what I understand, they are putting an RFP out. They are not putting an amount in until they see what came in from the bidders. Of course, we only have one, so there isn't a budgeted amount. There is money in the --

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, wait, budget -there must have been a budget?

MR. EVANS: Well, there is not a budgeted amount for that, the third party administrator, no. You are talking about the third party administrator? Yeah, because -- well, I was told that they are looking at the Composite Board to pay that fee whatever that fee might be. It could come out of the proceeds of the pensions so we'll see. I mean, we are still haven't had one yet so we'll find out soon enough.

MS. SCHUMACHER: And where is that trust agreement for the way the sewer asset sale proceeds were going to be -- come to go this body, sooner rather than later, which I think was before now?

MR. WECHSLER: One of the

1 requirements is the TPA has to be approved first, that's one of the requirements. 2 3 have to a third party administrator. all part of the trust agreement so until 4 5 that's in place there is no use. MR. EVANS: We were adamant about 6 7 that, that we would not agree with any trust 8 agreement without a third party 9 administrator being part of that trust 10 agreement. MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, it can be part 11 12 of the trust agreement without having a 13 physical body there; right? 14 MR. EVANS: Well, we could put the language in there, but I'm not comfortable 15 16 doing that because then we'll never get the 17 third party administrator hired. 18 MR. WECHSLER: I think that's a bad 19 idea. MR. EVANS: We still have some time. 20 21 We expected it, in all honesty, in June. 22 That was the time frame that I thought it 23 was going to be done in so it's June 1. 24 MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, I would guess by the end of May, so when is the RFP going 25

1	to be reissued?
2	MR. EVANS: We haven't heard back
3	from the city solicitor yet what they're
4	doing?
5	MS. SCHUMACHER: Have you asked?
6	MR. EVANS: Yeah. Yeah. They are
7	looking at it.
8	MR. WECHSLER: She is still reviewing
9	to see if the bid was responsible or not.
10	MS. SCHUMACHER: She said that would
11	take a week, that was longer than a week.
12	And then when are the rest of the documents
13	going to be received from the director of
14	our Sewer Authority?
15	MR. WECHSLER: They provided us all
16	of the invoices today.
17	MS. SCHUMACHER: No, all of the rest
18	of the invoices.
19	MR. WECHSLER: We have been asking
20	that since January.
21	MR. EVANS: They provided the legal
22	
23	MS. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, that's only
24	one part of it. I mean, it's a big chunk,
25	but there is still

MR. EVANS: It's part of it exactly, but we haven't received the other information that's why we are going to take another step tonight.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, if we call the office downstairs will we be allowed to go in and look at the unredacted correspondence? I've had a right to know, me and myself, for a long time?

MR. EVANS: Well, we are going to give a copy to the Scranton Times. We have a copy for him. I'm sure we could get a copy for -- or at least look at it.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, that's the invoices. That's not --I mean, yeah, I'll be interested when it's posted on the Times' website but there is it other things I'm looking for that we are required by the contract.

MR. EVANS: Yeah, we --

MS. SCHUMACHER: I don't have the sections, but every time there was a change made from the sale's agreement at the time the agreement was signed was to have the correspondence go to the PAWC from the Sewer

Authority and \$12 million should be hidden in there and that's why I asked for all of correspondence, which I have not received yet, and I'm sure when I do it will be, you know, more felt tip pens going by a quarter a page.

MR. EVANS: Most likely. We have been asking for the same things.

MS. SCHUMACHER: Well, when are we going to get them, that's the question?

MR. EVANS: That's why we tried to get the Auditor General in here, and again, I don't want take another step --

MS. SCHUMACHER: I think you need to ask the mayor to come in here and ask him directly to ask his appointees to reconsider that.

MR. WECHSLER: I think that's the purpose of the town hall meeting, that's his intention. That's the mayor's intention is to answer questions like that.

MS. SCHUMACHER: No, that's just pushing it out so we don't get anything done before the election, come on, let's get real. You guys are going on vacation in a

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

couple of months and, yeah.

MR. DOBRZYN: There you go. We tabled it for tonight, how about changing that around on the Posh, put it up to Seventh Order and vote "no".

All right, I have a little poem I wrote about Scranton. We're very astute so we astutely study so we can astutely study why are studies were not astute. going back to 7-A last week. Trash. you look at the volume on the corner it's called Packmaster that picks it up, compresses it to uniform volume, so unless you throw out a ton of lead you are not going to fill that truck up before they have to take it off and dump it. However, recycle, never been enforced. Never been enforced, so the idea is that they will recycle it, we charge to throw. The cost of the trucks and crew must run the route irregardless of the amount of trash. nobody puts out their trash, they still have to run that route and in case somebody puts out their trash. Illegal dumps. People tossed it on my lawn in different towns, I

24

25

don't want to pay a flat rate and have -become a transfer station for the neighborhood trash where I'm paying a flat rate but, you know, slip it over on my lawn. And years back just with disposal of trash, we have the most toxic landfill in the world in 01d Forge. We had a DA that was more interested in closing the dens of inequity in Archbald than the Yackavazi landfill. could only be capped because if they uncapped it I live in South Side a couple of the blocks down from Moosic Street, and I would have to evacuate my home and have people with spacesuits vacuuming the floor so that's how important it is to have proper dumping. We should actually have sequestering of things that don't -- aren't really recyclable right now but somewhere in the future they could be recyclable. back I seen a sheet that actually turned polymerized plastic into oil. It takes it, but we don't enforce these ordinances and we go after something else and, I mean, do you really want to become like the televisions and electronics where it's tossed in Connell

Park or it's tossed along some abandoned rail line or anywhere else. I mean, we don't want to have that situation. You know, I was thoroughly flabbergasted last week and, by the way, in Madisonville one bag of garbage is \$5.25. So as the DPW loses funds because people are throwing it wherever they can get away with it, are we going end up jacking up the bags and making it worse on everybody. So enough of that. I set a timer here and I have another speech.

Comrades, artisans, we got Russian spies in the White House, poison trolls in the Congress, poison trolls in the PA Assembly, despots. I have a solution for you, if you don't like the candidates that you have available to vote for, vote for Dobrzyn for dictator, I'll solve all of your problems. Tyranny and equality for all. Thank you, Comrades. Thank you very much and just right in whenever they don't like the candidate just write in D-O-B-R-Z-Y-N, Dobrzyn for dictator. Thank you and have a good night.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Good evening,
Scranton City Council. Good evening, Kathy.
Good evening, Stenographer, Lori and Amil,
good evening to all of you. First of all,
last week I talked to two city councilmen
about some potholes in the 1400 block of
Hertz Court, well, they come up and they
patched the smallest one there and they left
all of the big ones untouched so we still
have a problem on 1400 block of Hertz Court,
so if you can remind them to come back up
there and get rid of the big craters that
are there.

MR. EVANS: We'll specify the big ones this time.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Yeah. Tell them we need the big ones done, the little ones are taken care of, the big ones got to get done now. Okay, first of all, as far as the town hall meeting, I think it's just my personal opinion, nothing more, nothing less, I think the town hall meeting will be held after the election. That's what I really think. I think it will be held after the election if

24

25

it's held at all. I'm still 50/50 on whether or not there will be a meeting. really don't think the town hall meeting is the answer because all it's going to be is a bunch of people getting there and asking questions and getting spin on the answers and not being told the truth. What we need is we need the truth. We need to find out exactly what happened and the only way that's going to happen is to have the independent audit come in and audit everything happened in this transaction. Town hall meetings aren't going to solve nothina. This is just a publicity thing, that's all it is. We need action. hope city council doesn't forget about this and continues to press Mayor Courtright into approving the hiring -- not the hiring, but the attorney coming in here to audit the situation because it needs to be done of the people are really upset offer this. I don't know if you guys realize how upset people I imagine you do because you probably get more phone calls than I get. People probably stop you on the street more than

25

they do me, but something has got to be done. This cannot be forgotten. That's why elections are so important, you know, and local elections are probably more important than national elections because the local election actually affects people more than a national election does or Congress does and the Senate and the president do really doesn't get down to level very often, but what the mayor does and what city council does and what the city controller does and what the school board does that deals with us directly, that deals with the people and the residents directly and the taxpayers. That's why it's so important in these local elections not to go out and vote for your friend or your brother-in-law or your cousin or your nephew, they may not be the right person. You need to look at the person who is running and examine their, you know, what do they have, the same likes and dislikes like you have. You know, like, I get a kick out of I saw signs, yard signs out, and I seen yard signs side by side for one name and a different name and these two people

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

totally disagree on everything. They don't agree on everything, but yet their yard signs are side by side, so that tells me that that's a voter who is not paying attention. He's just voting -- he or she is just voting for someone because he knows them or he is a relative or something like that, so people really need to -- we have six months left before the election and people really need to take the time to really look at who they are voting for and why they are voting for, and that includes the school board also because let's face it the city's in dire distress 25 years, coming up on 9,000 days.

I mean, now the school board. I mean, look it, they are what \$33 million in the hole or something like that? It's a ridiculous number. I mean, does anybody know exactly how deep of hole the City of Scranton is in? Does anybody have a guesstimate? How many millions is it? Anybody willing to take shot?

MR. EVANS: It's a moving target.

MR. JACKOWITZ: What's that?

MR. EVANS: It's a moving target.

MR. JACKOWITZ: Okay. You know, I mean, sooner or later that has got to end. It's either going to end one or the other. There is no longer going to be a City of Scranton or someone is going to come in and take charge and really do the right things and get the city back on the right track again, and it's not going to happen overnight. It's going to take years and years and years but, remember, when you go out and vote this time pay attention to who you're voting for and why you are voting for them. Have a good reason for voting for them.

MR. WECHSLER: Anyone else?

MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. MOTIONS.

MR. WECHSLER: Mr. Perry?

MR. PERRY: Yeah, I just have two quick items tonight. The first item that Mr. Spindler brought up, Mrs. Reed, if we could just send a letter to DPW and see if there is anything that needs to be finished at the depression, I guess we'll call it, the depression on Dorothy Street by the park

and see if we can finally finish that job off once and for all. I know they responded to that very quickly at first and I just want to get this work completed and get it off our list so we can move onto something else.

Second item I want to bring up is on a very positive note about the property on Maple Street that we got word on that has been finally closed down and shut down for good. We got our letter in, I'll just give it a quick read. This is from the attorney from the Manor on Maple Street. "This is to advise you that all of the residents have been vacated from the property on Cedar Avenue in compliance with the Court's most recent order. Also, please be advised that this property was sold at the most recent judicial tax sale and, therefore, no longer owned by my client."

So everybody is out of this facility, which as we all remember was right by the school, which they kept reapplying for extensions and the board had granted extensions to operate basically illegally

that facility, but they are all gone and I just want thank the neighborhood for staying involved and keeping that issue in the public light and that really helped facilitate things, and then I also have to give credit to LIPS Department and Director Hinton for seeing this through to the end. I know when this was brought up to our council a couple of the weeks ago we reached out to him and he made sure that there be no more extensions and, you know, we have to give credit where credit is due and he made sure there was no other extensions.

And I'll reserve all my comments for agenda items. That's all I have tonight,

Mr. Wechsler.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Perry.
Mr. Rogan?

MR. ROGAN: Two brief items and then one I'll talk a little bit more at length.

Regarding Pennswood Manor, I agree with

Councilman Perry, I'm very happy to see that that was shut down. I have heard from some residents in South Scranton, and I don't

even want to say the address because at this point they are just a rumor, that the occupants of Pennswood Manor have moved to another location still living in the same general area in South Scranton, so that's something that we are looking into. I obviously, it is a small win -- or a large actually that Pennswood is shut down and hopefully they will do something productive with that facility. It is a new owner and a fresh start.

Regarding any changes with the garbage fee, you know, I mentioned that I favor the per bag or hybrid fee, something to eliminate the \$300 flat fee, I believe it was Mr. Dobrzyn that brought up paying for recycling, speaking for myself, I would never support any proposal where residents would have to pay for recycling. Recycling always should be free. It saves the city money and it's good for the environment so I certainly wouldn't ever support any proposal that made recycling, you know, that have residents have to pay for.

I want to talk a little bit at

length about something today, Councilman
Evans and myself had a meeting with
representatives from Neighbor Works
Northeastern Pennsylvania. If you recall,
awhile back, we passed legislation and
Councilman Evans will go a little more into
the history of how we got to where we are
today for Homebuyers' Assistance Program for
properties that need rehabilitation, so I
wanted to give a little update on where we
stand and talk a little bit about our
meeting today.

So the existing pilot program that myself and Neighbor Works drew up, the first five recipients, three projects are completed, two are construction or progress and there are seven more applications pending that are waiting for funding.

Planning for Phase II of this program is up and running and, again, this will be for 2017/2018. Phase II funding has dedicated approximately \$35,000 towards the program in anticipating serving 12 clients through the program within an additional \$25,000 from Scranton. Neighbor Works

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Northeastern Pennsylvania will be targeting income buyers of West Scranton as part of it's larger neighborhood rehabilitation incentive proposed in another project.

If you recall, this is the assistance for first time homebuyers who are buying a blighted property to fix up the property, so there is three of them that we have actually great before and after pictures. One is 545 Quincy Avenue. I know you can't see it on TV, but really a great job that was done on that property. second one is 2023 Price Street, which they did a great job as well, and the third is the most striking before and after, it's 1518 Luzerne Street, and if you look, I know you can't see it, but on the left-hand side you have an old dilapidated building with basically there is no paint left on the building it's in such bad shape, and then on the right-hand side it's completely new with vinyl siding and a beautiful American flag hanging from the front.

I believe 1518 Luzerne Street wasn't paying any taxes prior to this couple buying

the property and rehabbing it. So we did talk about moving forward and trying to grow this program using both city money, which has already been allocated funding through OECD, which the application period is just opening up, so their 2017-2018 plan is not only this program but to use this money along with other funding streams that Neighbor Works has to really leverage this into a much bigger project for Scranton.

Revitalization Plan includes helping create a pocket park collaborative to improve neighborhood parks, undertake a significant neighborhood plan in home repair projects with volunteer groups. Working with the newly founded Lackawanna County Land Bank to identify clusters of blighted properties for acquisition and development. Conducting community impact measurement by canvassing the residents and documenting their hopes and goals for the neighborhood.

Additional grants that were received are going to be used for three items, the home matter repair initiative volunteer

services program. We will address health and safety needs of aging homeowners by hosting approximately four volunteer events throughout the distressed neighborhood in West Scranton. Installing 250 motion activated solar powered lights through the light our town program, and distributing 250 home battery repair kits.

What I love about this, and the next two that I'm going to mention, is much of the focus of what we are doing is always on getting new home buyers and getting new people in. These three items are going to help existing homeowners in the City of Scranton, particularly those in West Scranton and older people who aren't able to repair their homes. The solar-powered security lights are great because it makes the neighborhoods more bright and provides an extra layer of the security in that neighborhood.

Number two is the home matters repair incentives that's an aging in place program. They'll serve approximately 15 homeowners age 16 or older in West Scranton

with critical home repairs such as roof or siding repair replacement, plumbing and electrical updates, insulation and heating system repair replacement as well as modifications that impact aging in place such as bathrooms, kitchen, hallway modifications, wheelchair ramps, grab bars and railing system.

This section of the program will, again, help on the outside for keeping properties in good condition, but especially for older residents helping with modifications, especially as residents age a lot of time wheelchair ramps are needed and different handicapped accessible bathrooms.

And, finally, the home matters repair incentive, which is what I talked about at the beginning with these three examples of homes that were completely transformed, they will provide approximately 12 new homebuyers in West Scranton \$5,000 grant assistance for use in making exterior repairs and renovations immediately after they're purchased.

I know Councilman Evans is going to

talk a little bit more about how we were able to get to this point with this program, but although the media doesn't like to report about positive items this one certainly has been a home run for the city and it's going to continue to be an even bigger and better project moving forward and help other senior citizens and young families. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Rogan.
Mr. Evans?

MR. EVANS: Thank you. So I'm going to continue veer off a little bit and talk about something that is completely positive, as Councilman Rogan just discussed, the meeting we had today and the update with Neighbor Works. So I'm going to start at the beginning about how this all started. As some of you remember, Councilman Rogan contacted a company that was interested in doing a foreclosed property registration, so we received the presentation and all council decided it was a great opportunity for the city. So the company would register all foreclosed property and charge the banks a

2223

18

19

20

21

24

25

\$200 fee for registration. The company would receive \$100 as part of their work they did and the city would receive \$100 for it's share. It was estimated at the time there was probably 300 foreclosed properties in Scranton when the program first started. This accomplished several things: First, it gave License and Inspections a database for these properties as well as contact information with the banks that wasn't previously available. Certainly gave us the flexibility to respond to a problem of a foreclosed property, for example, high grass or snow removal or even security at the property. It also gave the city about a \$100,000 new revenue source.

This is where the second part of the story begins. Councilman Rogan and I formed a subcommittee to determine what might be a better use of the funds than to put the money into the black hole of inefficiency that's also known as the general fund.

We came up with a strategy to use funds for first time homebuyers in the city.

Another enticement if you were to purchase

the home in Scranton through a series of \$5,000 grants to do improvements mainly to the exterior of the home purchased. This would certainly help first time homebuyers, but it would also improve the exterior appearance, which improves the block, which improves the neighborhood, which also improves the city as a whole.

We felt strongly that we should have a public private partnership in this and take this decision making process in marketing the program outside the bureaucratic walls of city hall. Through an RFP process, Neighbor Works of Northeastern, PA were selected.

That would leave us to part three, which Councilman Rogan went over quite in-depth, but the Scranton Homeowners
Assistance Program or SHAP has been extremely successful. To date, there are five recipients of grants, three are completed, two are in the pipeline and progress and seven additional applications are pending review and additional funding.

The program is so successful that

Neighbor Works has now secured another \$35,000 to move things forward even more. This is an example of how government can foster positive change, come up with a strategic plan and then get out the way. This city council conceived and backed this vision almost a year ago and now it is a true success story.

So that's my positive comments for tonight. That's all I have.

MR. WECHSLER: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
Mr. Gaughan?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you. Just a few items. I continue to get calls about the garbage fee discount. Elderly people have reached out to me over the last two or three months, they come down to the Treasury Department, they go to pay their garbage bill, they expect a \$30 discount on it and they are not getting it. So we discussed this at length in caucus, again, because I have so many people reaching out to me and the administration has said that they are not going to give these people the \$30 discount. So we did have our solicitor, Mr.

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Minora, kind of look into and I think we are going to come up with I guess an amendment to the legislation, but can you explain a little bit, Solicitor Minora, just kind of where we are with us and what the administration is -- what their position is because, again, I keep getting phone calls and e-mails about this issue?

MR. MINORA: As best I can understand the position, and I just reread the legislation, so I'm a little confused, but evidently the bills were printed such that if a building had more than one unit it contained the total number of units. instance, there was a double bill, it was \$300. The administration took the position that if one-half was unoccupied you had to prove that at the end of the year by bills and then you wouldn't be charged for the additional unit but that you were ineligible for the discount on the unit you were occupying. I'm sorry, I disagree with the interpretation of how it was done. sure why they chose to interpret it the way they did, but as we were here tonight I'm

trying to make some changes and I'm going to suggest to the solicitor and hopefully we can have that back down to us in a week or so.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you very much. Again, and I hate to dwell on this issue and, you know, sound like broken record, but it just defies logic that, you know, these people come down to pay their garbage bill and they are getting, I think, they are getting ripped off from \$30. It may not seem a like a lot of money to some people, but it is to most people throughout the city. So whatever this council can do to rectify that we are going to look into it, but I just can't believe the administration is taking this position. It does not make any sense to me.

Just a few citizen's requests. 523

North Rebecca Avenue, I know I brought that property up before over in West Scranton and it is on the demolition list. I'm not exactly sure when it is going to be torn down, but I continue to get phone calls about that property. It's my belief that

neighbors should not have to live and suffer next to that home which is literally falling apart, the back porch just collapsed, throughout the whole summer. The grass is a mess, again, the house is falling apart, so if we could send another letter to Mr. Hinton asking that an emergency demolition occur as soon as possible because this property actually impacts public safety.

The 900 block of Brook Street there is a property that neighbors are complaining about so we are going to forward that to Licensing and Inspections. We sent another request in for potholes at Crisp Avenue and Jackson Street, crisp Avenue and Frink Street, Deto Court in West Scranton is also in need of patching. I received several complaints about 1125 West Locust Street in West Scranton, neighbors are concerned about that property as well so we are going to send that along to Licensing and Inspections.

We received a letter from residents in the 900 block of Clearview Street where

we are having some major issues with backup sewer water in basements after thunderstorms due to blocked sewer lines so we are going to forward that to the proper department and hopefully that gets taken care of.

As for the town mall meeting that mayor announced last week, I'm still kind of mystified as to why we don't have a date yet but, you know, we will continue to wait for that. And I would like to ask, if my colleagues would be willing in the spirit of the transparency, to invite the mayor to use council chambers for the town hall meeting when he does come up with a date and ask that that council meeting be televised for the public for those people who cannot make it, so hopefully my colleagues would agree to that and we can send that request to Mayor Courtright.

Now, I do plan on making a motion in a second to ask the Attorney General to examine the transaction between the Sewer Authority and the Pennsylvania American Water, but before I do that I'd like to read two or three excerpts from a letter from

Governor Wolf to then Attorney General Bruce Beemer, and this is concerning the management of the Philadelphia Parking Authority. Now, the Philadelphia Parking Authority and what's going on with the Sewer Authority I think are two different things, but I just want to read some excerpts here from Governor Wolf's letter to Attorney General Beemer. He says, "The agency remains uniquely opaque in state government. It was established without significant oversight and it remains largely so policed.

As you are aware, state law gives the Attorney General the express authority to examine the books, accounts and records of municipal authorities. I believe it would be appropriate for a thorough examination of the financial dealings and practices of the authority to be conducted as soon as possible. As stewards of the taxpayers resources, it is our job to make sure every office of government is delivering value honestly, efficiently and effectively.

Recent events make it clear that we

2

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cannot say with any certainty that the

Philadelphia Parking Authority is living up

to this standard."

So those are just some excerpts from a letter that Governor Wolf sent to the then Attorney General Bruce Beemer about the Philadelphia Parking Authority, and I bring that up because I agree with one statement that he made here, that it's our job as stewards of the taxpayer resources to make sure that every office of government is delivering value honestly, efficiently and effectively. And I would say that in the past six months, as Governor Wolf said, make it clear that we cannot say with certainty, with absolute certainty, that the Scranton Sewer Authority is living up to this standard, and some of the events that have taken place, the stonewalling, the recent Sewer Authority meeting, made me even more concerned than I already was.

So I would like to make a motion
that Scranton City Council send
correspondence requesting that the Attorney
General of Pennsylvania examine the

2

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

transaction between the Scranton Sewer

Authority and Pennsylvania American Water.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. WECHSLER: There is a motion on the floor and a second, on the question?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. Again, as I mentioned, I remain deeply concerned about the lack of transparency surrounding the sale of the Scranton Sewer authority to Pennsylvania American Water. The vote taken last week by the Sewer Authority Board denying the Auditor General the right to audit the transaction only furthered my concerns, and as I mentioned last week, it was one of the more bizarre meetings that I have ever seen taking place. Keep in mind, members of the Sewer Authority Board are supposed to be independent and have the best interest of the ratepayers in That vote taken last week in the Governor's Room totally puts all of that into question.

I was also stunned, absolutely stunned to read Mayor Courtright's quote in the paper recently that he didn't know that

there was so many questions about the Sewer Authority deal. I have been asking questions, along with my colleagues, now publically for six months. Where is the mayor? Now all of a sudden because of public pressure he is going to have a town hall meeting, date to be determined. It just doesn't add up for me. It doesn't make me feel comfortable about anything.

Also, we received at 5:00 this afternoon unredacted invoices from legal work that was done in relation to the sale of Scranton Sewer Authority. Again, I appreciate that, I haven't had a chance to take a look at it, why did it take six months? That's the question that I have to ask. Why did it take six months? It's absolutely absurd. The Scranton Sewer Authority last week votes against allowing the unredacted invoices to be released, then the next day the chairman of the board says, "It's okay, we'll release them."

For six months the answer that we have gotten from this board is attorney/client privilege so my question is

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

where is the attorney/client privilege now? Where is the confidentiality that they were stonewalling us for for six months?

So, again, in light of the lack of transparency, in light of the unanswered questions I believe that it would be appropriate for the Attorney General to examine this deal. Let me preface again all of my comments by saying I'm accusing anybody of any criminal action, which may be associated with the Attorney General, I'm simply asking the Attorney General to come in and examine the books, the records and I would have liked the the transaction. Auditor General to do that, but obviously the Scranton Sewer Authority Board shut the door on that last week. So I do believe that in light of the events of the past six months this is the next logical step due to the public outcry and concern and for me this whole issue is about public trust. love nothing more to get this issue behind us and focus on something else, but we could have all the town hall meetings we want, we could have all the invoices laid out on the

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

table six months later, but until an independent third party takes a look at this deal I certainly will not be comfortable that it was done with the best interest of the ratepayers of Scranton and Dunmore in mind. Thank you.

MR. EVANS: On the question, excuse me, I think we have obligation, I said this before, to do whatever we can to get the answers and five or six months later we are still not there. Tonight was a good start, we received the information on the attorneys' fees and consulting fees unredacted, I still haven't had a chance to go through it, but missing in action still is the explanation for the money that was spent during the contract period, it could be \$8 million, it could be \$9 million, it could be \$10, we don't even know. told that it was on mandated items, we don't know that. We haven't seen any invoices to support that, so we have take the extraordinary step tonight to ask the Attorney General to look at this and this is an extraordinary step. I thought we would

never get to this point. The Auditor

General should have been the one to do it,

the SSA Board rebuffed that and here we are

tonight doing what we think is the right

thing to do to get the answers necessary.

So I will be voting for this motion and

support this motion. I will say that,

again, the process of the providing this

information to us both post-closing has been

nothing less a disaster so hopefully and

finally we will get it to the information we

need to make an informed decision as to what

happened to the money, so I'm voting "yes."

MR. PERRY: Yes, on the question.

At 4:00 today I would have been in support of this motion, but with this new information that we got when I walked in council chambers today I was just personally like time to review it. When I say this stack of the papers is five inches high it is, and I want time to read it over to see what's actually in there before we officially request the help from the AG to take a look at this. Do I wish that it didn't come to this? Absolutely. I thought

10

11

12

7

8

9

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

the SSA Board wouldn't do the right thing, would have brought in the Auditor General and have this just taken care of once and for all. With that being said, before we take this next step I just want to review all of the information that I have at my fingertips before I bring some else in right now. I reserve the right to go ahead and totally support this next week if I feel like I'm not satisfied.

MR. WECHSLER: Yes, on the question, as was stated we all would have preferred the Auditor General to come in and do this. I believe this is more his area of expertise and the Attorney General, even though the Attorney General does have the right to do Unfortunately, city council does not this. have standing to make that request. I am concerned about bringing the Attorney General's name into this whole discussion just from the standpoint of people hear that name there is a suggestion that there may be some criminality involved in this. believe there is any criminality involved in this, but the problems for us is that we

don't have any other alternative to get an independent agency in here by our request.

And, once again, we are making this request but it is going to be at the Attorney

General's discretion to come in and take a look at this. So the fact tonight all we are actually doing is writing a letter asking the Attorney General to possibly come in and take a look at this situation.

I don't think it's fair at this point request information from people and when they are provide it say it's suspect. We requested this information since January, it hasn't been provided, we all know that it's public pressure that has resulted in this information being released to us. I believe public pressure is also going to what's needed to release the clarification and information on the difference of the sale price and the proceeds price. That's another matter that's going to have to be cleared up.

I don't think that we can minimize the fact that the town mall meeting is taking place. Once again, it's public

pressure that's pushing this town meeting be held. I don't think you can criticize somebody for not doing something for months and then when we decide to do something criticize them for that. This is an attempt to bring answers out. Most of us feel right now we are going to need more than those answers, we are going to need some third party. Last week council kicked around an idea of perhaps council coming up with funding to hire an another independent audit that would work for council. We are still kicking that idea around, but we are not sure if the Attorney General is going to take us up on this question.

There also is a possibility that the Sewer Authority could reconsider their vote and ask the Auditor General to come in, and as we see, as Mr. Gaughan mentioned, last Friday there was one answer in terms of releasing the documents, and this week there is another answer, and we got one item. So I would encourage the Sewer Authority to reconsider their position on allowing the Auditor General to come in on this vote.

2

4

3

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As Mr. Perry stated, I don't believe there is any benefit to waiting to write this letter. The Auditor General will decide on his own whether he will come in or not, so I don't believe that the language in the letter would make much difference.

MR. ROGAN: I would agree with much of what's been said. Obviously, we would much prefer the Auditor General to come in because it would be the proper person to conduct an audit, but as was mentioned by Councilman Wechsler, you know, we want to get this information out there and be able to move on and continue to move forward with what right now is it the most important use of the proceeds, which I know hasn't been talked about a whole lot, but there is tens off millions of dollars waiting to be spent in a way that benefits the taxpayers the most, so I will support the motion to get the information out there, but we need, you know, turn that over to outside agencies and then council needs to focus on how to get the most bang for the buck for the taxpayers because of the sale.

19

20

18

21

22

2324

25

Obviously, without the sale you would be looking at 100 percent tax increase and the state taking over the city. Because of the sale, it has thrown the city a lifeline and it's our job to make sure that that money is used in the way that is the most possible, most bang for the buck but at the same time we need to insure that every dollar is accounted for within the deal as well.

MR. WECHSLER: I would like to add one thing, we will prepare the letter, but I'd like Solicitor Minora to review it before we submit it so we will get that done as soon as possible and get it in the mail as soon as possible, if it passes, I'm sorry. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye.

MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed?

MR. PERRY: No.

MR. WECHSLER: The ayes have it and so moved.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  GAUGHAN: And that's all I have this week. Thank you.

MR. WECHSLER: I forgot it was my
turn. Just a few items, I did contact
Business Administrator Bulzoni this week in
terms of preparing the request for a
proposal for engineering studies out at the
Young and Kennedy properties and Mr. Bulzoni
is preparing that information. As I
mentioned earlier on this evening, I did
talk to Director of Parks about the tree
house. He said the City Engineering
Department is doing an extensive review of
the tree house and at this time it has not
been completed yet.

I did attend two Memorial Day services, both unique in their own ways. It was the first year that I missed the Nay Aug, which is very enjoyable, but this year we went to the Connors Park. Bob Gattens requested that I attend that ceremony, which I was enthusiastic about doing. Mr. Gattens is kind of the steward of that park, he lives across the street from there, and the park has come under a renassaince under the

watchful eye of those neighbors down there. We heard this evening about the small library at the park. Many uses, daily uses of people so I would encourage anyone that has any books that they could take down to that library would be well appreciated.

The park is a memorial to our friend Bob McGoff and it's just a testament that Bob has come into that neighborhood and that that park is striving.

The other service that I attend was at Cathedral Cemetery held by the Koch-Conley Post, and the most interesting thing that happened there that day is that the scheduled singer of the national anthem, Paulette Costa I think is her name, was sick that day so Colonel Albert, Colonel Joe Albert asked me to lead the singing of the national anthem at the ceremony. I declined to say -- as fast as I could say no I said no, but the most amazing thing happened that day. Without a singer, Colonel Albert began the singing of the national anthem on his own, there was probably 75, 80 people there, and all joined in and sang it and it was the

best rendering of the national anthem that I have heard in several years. I commend everyone that there was that day and made that such an enjoyable experience.

And since we doing good news on
Thursday this week I would like to
congratulate the Oakmont Neighborhood
Association. The city provided -- Brian
Fallon, the city provided them mulch for the
playground and the Oakmont neighborhood
residents spread the mulch themselves in
their park, and congratulations to them for
that. And that is all I have.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION — AN ORDINANCE — AUTHORIZING ONE (1) R6-IL HORIZONTAL LEFT ONE-WAY SIGN AND ONE (1) R6-IR HORIZONTAL RIGHT ONE-WAY SIGN BE INSTALLED BACK TO BACK AT THE EASTERLY CORNER OF VINE STREET AND MONROE AVENUE AND THE EXISTING DO NOT ENTER SIGN AT THE WESTERLY CORNER OF MONROE AVENUE AND VINE STREET BE PLUMBED TO A VERTICAL POSITION.

MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced into its proper committee.

1 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 2 MR. EVANS: Second. 3 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? A11 4 those in favor of introduction signify by 5 saying aye. 6 MR. PERRY: Aye. 7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. 8 MR. EVANS: Aye. 9 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 10 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The 11 ayes have it and so moved. 12 MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION - A RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER 13 14 APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH JIM WILLIAMS 15 D/B/A JIM WILLIAMS SURPLUS FOR GRASS CUTTING 16 17 AT THE TAYLOR LANDFILL FOR CALENDAR YEAR 18 2017 CONTRACT TO EXPIRE NOVEMBER 30, 2017 FOR A LUMP SUM BID OF \$8,949.00. 19 20 MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll 21 entertain a motion that Item 5-C be 22 introduced into its proper committee. 23 MR. ROGAN: So moved. 24 MR. EVANS: Second. 25 MR. WECHSLER: On the question?

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 has to be done. Thank you. 16 MR. WECHSLER: 17 18 MR. PERRY: Aye. MR. ROGAN: 19 Aye. 20 MR. EVANS: Aye. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 22 MR. WECHSLER: Aye. 23 ayes have it and so moved. 24

1

25

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question, each time this comes up there are questions about why the city has to pay someone to cut the grass at the Taylor Landfill, and just to remind everyone, the city is mandated by the Environmental Protection Agency to provide maintenance to the fence and cut the grass at the landfill. This city was assigned permanent maintenance of that site, which used to be an old city landfill and the city is obligated through the settlement agreement with the EPA and we award an annual contract for these services, so we are mandated to do this and that is why it All those in favor of introduction signify by saying aye. Opposed? The MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION - A

RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

1	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
2	ENTER INTO A CONTRACT WITH DUNBAR'S
3	EVERGREEN LANDSCAPING, INC. FOR FLOOD
4	PROJECT LEVEE CUTTING OF SOUTH SCRANTON,
5	ALBRIGHT, PLOT AND GREEN RIDGE LEVEES FOR A
6	TWO (2) YEAR SEASONAL PERIOD BEGINNING MAY
7	15, 2017 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2017 AND MAY
8	15, 2018 THROUGH OCTOBER 31, 2018 FOR A
9	TOTAL BID PRICE OF \$29,000.00.
10	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be
12	introduced into its proper committee.
13	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
14	MR. EVANS: Second.
15	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
16	those in favor of introduction signify by
17	saying aye.
18	MR. PERRY: Aye.
19	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
20	MR. EVANS: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION - A
25	RESOLUTION - AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER

1	APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND
2	ENTER INTO A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE CITY OF
3	SCRANTON AND THE SCRANTON SCHOOL DISTRICT
4	WITH JOSEPH M. ALU AND ASSOCIATES TO PERFORM
5	THE SCRANTON SINGLE TAX OFFICE INDEPENDENT
6	AUDIT FOR FISCAL YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 31,
7	2015 AND DECEMBER 31, 2016.
8	MR. WECHSLER: At this time, I'll
9	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be
10	introduced into its proper committee.
11	MR. ROGAN: So moved.
12	MR. EVANS: Second.
13	MR. WECHSLER: On the question? All
14	those in favor of introduction signify by
15	saying aye.
16	MR. PERRY: Aye.
17	MR. ROGAN: Aye.
18	MR. EVANS: Aye.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
20	MR. WECHSLER: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. NO
23	BUSINESS AT THIS TIME.
24	SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
25	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC

1	WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL
2	NO. 100, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF
3	TRANSPORTATION TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY
4	NECESSARY FOR THE WEST LACKAWANNA AVENUE
5	BRIDGE DOCUMENT NO. 04M173 AS PART OF THE
6	CITY OF SCRANTON OWNED BRIDGE PROJECT.
7	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
8	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
9	Committee on Public Works?
10	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
12	passage of Item 7-A.
13	MR. ROGAN: Second.
14	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
15	Roll call, please?
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
17	MR. PERRY: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
19	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
21	MR. EVANS: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
25	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare

1	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.
2	MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
3	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
4	- FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 101, 2017 -
5	AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
6	TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY NECESSARY FOR THE
7	ELM STREET BRIDGE DOCUMENT NO. 04M171 AS
8	PART OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON OWNED BRIDGE
9	PROJECT.
10	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
11	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
12	Committee on Public Works?
13	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
15	passage of Item 7-B.
16	MR. ROGAN: Second.
17	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
18	Roll call, please?
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
20	MR. PERRY: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
22	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
24	MR. EVANS: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
	11

	03
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
3	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
4	Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.
5	MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
6	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
7	- FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 102, 2017 -
8	AUTHORIZING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
9	TO ACQUIRE RIGHT-OF-WAY NECESSARY FOR THE
10	NORTH MAIN AVENUE BRIDGE DOCUMENT NO. 04M172
11	AS PART OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON OWNED BRIDGE
12	PROJECT.
13	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
14	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Public Works?
16	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
17	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-C.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
21	Roll call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
23	MR. PERRY: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. 2 MR. EVANS: Yes. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 5 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare 6 7 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted. 8 MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 9 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 153, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE 10 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 11 TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY 12 AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY 13 14 AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE TWO (2) 15 STAMPED BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSINGS AT THE 16 17 INTERSECTION OF OLIVE STREET CROSSINGS AND 18 THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. MR. WECHSLER: What is the 19 20 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Public Works? 22 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 23 24 passage of Item 7-D. 25 MR. ROGAN: Second.

1 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, I 3 just want to use this as an opportunity to commend everyone who works at the Lackawanna 4 5 Heritage Valley Authority. They have done an outstanding job, as has been noted here 6 7 quite often, and I think that everyone in Scranton is looking for a walkable community 8 9 and a bike friendly community and they are 10 certainly doing a wonderful job so I want to 11 commend them for their great work over the 12 last few years. 13 MR. WECHSLER: Roll call, please? 14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. MR. PERRY: Yes. 15 16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan. 17 MR. ROGAN: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans. MR. EVANS: Yes. 19 20 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 22 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 23 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare 24 Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. 25 MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION BY

1	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
2	- RESOLUTION NO. 154, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
3	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
4	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY
5	AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
6	AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON
7	PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED
8	BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE
9	INTERSECTION OF NAY AUG AVENUE CROSSING AND
10	THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL.
11	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
12	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
13	Committee on Public Works?
14	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
15	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
16	passage of Item 7-E.
17	MR. ROGAN: Second.
18	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
19	Roll call, please?
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
21	MR. PERRY: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
23	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
25	MR. EVANS: Yes.

1 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler. 4 MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare 5 Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION BY 6 THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION 7 8 - RESOLUTION NO. 155, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE 9 MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY 10 11 AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON 12 PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED 13 14 BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE INTERSECTION OF ALBRIGHT AVENUE CROSSING AND 15 THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL. 16 17 MR. WECHSLER: What is the 18 recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works? 19 20 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the Committee on Public Works, I recommend final 21 22 passage of Item 7-F. 23 MR. ROGAN: Second. 24 MR. WECHSLER: On the question? 25 Roll call, please?

Perry.
Rogan.
Evans.
Gaughan.
Wechsler.
. I hereby declare
ully adopted.
R CONSIDERATION BY
ORKS - FOR ADOPTION
7 - AUTHORIZING THE
TE CITY OFFICIALS
AN AGREEMENT BY
A HERITAGE VALLEY
SCRANTON
ANCE OF THE STAMPED
NG AT THE
REET CROSSING AND
TAGE TRAIL.
at is the
irperson for the
?
-

	89
1	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
3	passage of Item 7-G.
4	MR. ROGAN: Second.
5	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
6	Roll call, please?
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
8	MR. PERRY: Yes.
9	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
10	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
12	MR. EVANS: Yes.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
16	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
17	Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted.
18	MS. REED: 7-H. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
19	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION
20	- RESOLUTION NO. 157, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
21	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
22	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY
23	AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
24	AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON
25	PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE STAMPED

1	BITUMINOUS ASPHALT CROSSING AT THE
2	INTERSECTION OF EAST MARKET STREET CROSSING
3	AND THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL.
4	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
5	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
6	Committee on Public Works?
7	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
8	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
9	passage of Item 7-H.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second.
11	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
12	Roll call, please?
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
14	MR. PERRY: Yes.
15	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
16	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
17	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
18	MR. EVANS: Yes.
19	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
22	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
23	Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.
24	MS. REED: 7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
25	THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR ADOPTION

1	- RESOLUTION NO. 158, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
2	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
3	TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT BY
4	AND BETWEEN THE LACKAWANNA HERITAGE VALLEY
5	AUTHORITY AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON
6	PERTAINING TO THE MAINTENANCE OF THE TWO (2)
7	RECTANGULAR RAPID FLASHING BEACON'S
8	("RRFB'S"), STAMPED BITUMINOUS ASPHALT
9	CROSSINGS AT THE INTERSECTION OF BROADWAY
10	STREET AND THE LACKAWANNA RIVER HERITAGE
11	TRAIL.
12	MR. WECHSLER: What is the
13	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
14	Committee on Public Works?
15	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
16	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
17	passage of Item 7-I.
18	MR. ROGAN: Second.
19	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
20	Roll call, please?
21	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
22	MR. PERRY: Yes.
23	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
24	MR. ROGAN: Yes.
25	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

	52
1	MR. EVANS: Yes.
2	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
4	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
5	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
6	Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted.
7	MS. REED: 7-J, previously tabled.
8	7-K. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE
9	COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
10	RESOLUTION NO. 160, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
11	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
12	TO GRANT A SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO
13	DAVINCI'S PIZZA TO OPERATE AN OUTDOOR
14	RESTAURANT AT 505 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON,
15	PENNSYLVANIA.
16	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
17	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-K.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
21	Roll call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
23	MR. PERRY: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

	93
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
2	MR. EVANS: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-K legally and lawfully adopted.
8	MS. REED: 7-L. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
9	THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
10	RESOLUTION NO. 161, 2017 - AUTHORIZING THE
11	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
12	TO GRANT A SPECIAL ENCROACHMENT PERMIT TO
13	CAPAA C/O THE RITZ BUILDING TO OPERATE AN
14	OUTDOOR RESTAURANT AT 222 WYOMING AVENUE,
15	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA.
16	MR. WECHSLER: As Chairperson for
17	the Committee on Rules, I recommend final
18	passage of Item 7-L.
19	MR. ROGAN: Second.
20	MR. WECHSLER: On the question?
21	Roll call, please?
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.
23	MR. PERRY: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
25	MR. ROGAN: Yes.

_	
	94
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
2	MR. EVANS: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Wechsler.
6	MR. WECHSLER: Yes. I hereby declare
7	Item 7-L legally and lawfully adopted.
8	If there is no further business,
9	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.
10	MR. ROGAN: Motion to adjourn.
11	MR. WECHSLER: Meeting adjourned.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes of testimony taken by me at the hearing of the above-captioned matter and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

CATHENE S. NARDOZZI, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER