

COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

HELD:

Monday, March 11, 2019

LOCATION:

Council Chambers
Scranton City Hall
340 North Washington Avenue
Scranton, Pennsylvania

Maria McCool, RPR
Official Court Reporter

1 C O U N C I L M E M B E R S :

2

3 PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT

4 TIMOTHY PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT

5 WAYNE EVANS

6 WILLIAM GAUGHAN

7 KYLE DONAHUE

8

9

10 LORI REED, CITY CLERK

11 KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK

12 AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

(Pledge of Allegiance recited and a moment of reflection observed.)

MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

MR. PERRY: Here.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

MR. DONAHUE: Here.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

MR. EVANS: Here.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Here.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

MR. ROGAN: Here. Please dispense with the reading of the minutes.

MS. REED: THIRD ORDER, 3-A agenda for the Board of Zoning Appeals meeting to be held March 13th, 2019.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? If not, received and filed. Do any Council members have announcements at this time? I have two; next Monday, March 18th at 5:45 p.m., we will hold a public hearing on item 6-A. It's regarding two-hour parking restrictions at

1 934 Beech Street.

2 And secondly, I would just like to
3 welcome we do have a group of students from
4 Scranton Prep here today. So we'd like to
5 welcome you to our meeting. And that is all.

6 MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER, CITIZENS'
7 PARTICIPATION.

8
9 (The following speakers offered
10 public comment as follows: Joan Hodowanitz
11 spoke on City business. Marie Schumacher spoke
12 on City business. Ron Ellman spoke on matters
13 of general concern. Bob Bolus spoke on City
14 business and matters of general concern. Bruce
15 Reddock spoke on matters of general concern.
16 Les Spindler spoke about matters of general
17 concern. Dave Dobrzyn spoke on matters of
18 general concern. Ken Norton spoke on matters
19 of general concern.

20
21 MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER, 5-A MOTIONS.

22 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Perry, any motions
23 or comments?

24 MR. PERRY: Oh, yes, thank you.
25 Yeah, just a couple of comments. I just want

1 to throw a thank you out to DPW for the great
2 work for the parade, preparing for it and
3 cleaning up afterwards. Of course, I think it
4 was already mentioned here, the police
5 department did a fantastic job keeping
6 everybody safe at the parade like they always
7 do.

8 Our parade is one of our
9 centerpieces of the year. And I think it was a
10 great time. The weather broke just in time for
11 it. So I'm glad a lot of families came out.
12 We had a lot of crowd and hopefully a lot of
13 business and commerce took place as well.
14 Also, nonprofits were brought up again.

15 I think they're -- you know, the
16 first step for me in nonprofit reform the
17 easiest and the lowest hanging fruit I would
18 assume would be the nonprofits who operate for
19 profit business within that facility.

20 I think that square footage should
21 be deemed to be taxable. They're making money.
22 They're earning -- they're doing commerce there
23 so that should be taxable. That should be
24 something that we should be able to work with
25 with the State to get reform there.

1 discussion going on. I took a lot of notes. A
2 couple of the highlights that I want to talk
3 about, something that was asked to me was
4 credits for commercial businesses who already
5 did infrastructure work to hold their own
6 water.

7 They were -- they were -- I don't
8 want to say upset. But they were anxious on
9 what was this report going to say and how much
10 were they going to have pay when they already
11 had to succumb to other regulations to hold
12 their own water.

13 So I was happy to hear that there
14 was going to be credit procedure for these
15 businesses who went through those steps. That
16 will lessen their pay as far as their ERUs go.
17 Now, for residential, it's going to be
18 basically fixed.

19 I believe Mr. Lockwood -- I talked
20 to Mr. Lockwood. He had a nice piece in the
21 paper about this. He had -- got the
22 information out fairly early for us. And, you
23 know, all of these are estimates. My biggest
24 question is, are these estimates going to hold
25 true?

1 I'm always concerned with, you know,
2 the bait and switch tactics. Sometimes we get
3 shown one thing but something else actually
4 comes to fruition. So I just want to stay with
5 this and make sure that it is as it's
6 presented.

7 I understand there's a scale and
8 it's going to slide a little bit. If we get a
9 regional authority that might help us. I know
10 even having the City take over DPW, that was an
11 option that they took off the table. So we'll
12 dig into a little bit of that, why did they
13 take it off the table.

14 I'm guessing it was just going to be
15 too expensive to hire and take care of it
16 ourselves. But those are valid questions that
17 we should ask to see before we impose a new
18 fee. You know, as far as fees and taxes goes,
19 they normally go up and get added. They very
20 rarely go down and disappear.

21 So we need to do our due diligence
22 before we levy a new fee even at \$8 a quarter
23 or \$32 a year, whether it's nominal or not and
24 it goes to a good cause -- and I understand
25 that. I just don't want the precedent that it

1 sets that now there's a new regulation so now
2 there's a new fee.

3 So next time we have a regulation,
4 are we going to have a new fee? So that's what
5 I want to make sure that we're not getting in
6 over heads here with that. You know, there's a
7 lot of work to be done on our stormwater. We
8 had a gentleman here. There's always issues.
9 I think we talked about this in our caucus.

10 We had a heck of a summer with a lot
11 of flooding. Our hundred year system just
12 can't hold the amount of rain that we get
13 anymore. I believe the number was 130,000
14 linear feet of stormwater that we have that's
15 100 years old that's going to have to be
16 maintained, replaced, and they're estimating
17 our stormwater budget to be 1.44 million
18 dollars a year.

19 So again, that's a budget. We know
20 that could go up. That could go down. But
21 again, we're in our infancy of this. We got
22 the report. And there is a couple next steps.
23 And the very next step that was established was
24 going to be setting up the authority, whether
25 it be regional or just local.

1 And then once that domino falls,
2 we'll have a clear picture of what the next
3 step and how fast things will happen. But I'm
4 sure my fellow Councilmen will have more to say
5 on this as well. And we'll have more to say on
6 this in the future. So I'll leave it at that
7 and yield the floor.

8 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Donahue,
9 any motions or comments?

10 MR. DONAHUE: Yes, I'd also first
11 like to thank the Scranton Police Department as
12 well as DPW for a job well done on Saturday.
13 The police department did a tremendous job
14 keeping the downtown safe and secure. And the
15 DPW was once again on top of their game to get
16 the downtown cleaned up in a timely fashion.

17 Also over the weekend at the parade,
18 the restored showmobile made its debut. And I
19 have to say, I couldn't be more disappointed
20 and quite frankly disgusted in the finished
21 product. Instead of using the showmobile's
22 must needed restoration as an opportunity to
23 promote the City as the whole, the Mayor chose
24 to instead only promote himself.

25 If you haven't seen it yet, head

1 over to the Mayor's Facebook page and check out
2 the pictures and judge for yourself. Given
3 that, Miss Reed, would you be able to send a
4 letter to the Mayor asking how much the
5 restoration of the showmobile cost taxpayers
6 and also if he plans on reimbursing the City
7 for using taxpayer money for personal gain?

8 Moving on, just to touch on the
9 issues that neighbors are having with the
10 Keystone Mission on Olive Street, I did drive
11 by there a couple of times last week. And I
12 did get to see a little of what the neighbors
13 down there are dealing with on a daily basis.

14 And I absolutely feel their pain
15 because personally I wouldn't want to have to
16 deal with that, you know, around my house
17 either. But at the same time, I'm not
18 convinced that the mission is the main cause of
19 the issue.

20 I believe it might contribute to it.
21 But I don't believe it's the main cause. That
22 wooded area along the train tracks along the
23 river on Heritage Trail has long been an area
24 where the homeless population tend to set up
25 their camps. So I believe that in order to get

1 to address the issues that the residents of
2 that neighborhood are dealing with, the City
3 has to figure out a way to do a better job of
4 working with nonprofits -- nonprofits and
5 social service agencies to provide the
6 resources necessary to help get as many of our
7 homeless as possible off the streets. And I'm
8 hopeful we can begin that discussion.

9 On stormwater, I want to thank
10 Arcadis, Senator Blake and also the
11 administration, Mr. Bulzoni for coming to
12 tonight's presentation. And I think it's
13 extremely important to reiterate that this is
14 the beginning of this discussion.

15 The next stage is getting the
16 engineering study done to figure out what is
17 needed on our current system. Also, we do a
18 timeline -- some sort of timeline from American
19 Water on when they plan on separating the
20 current combined system because as they
21 separated those lines come on line and are the
22 City's responsibility under their MS4 permit.

23 But in the meantime, it's imperative
24 that we begin looking at ways to -- of
25 successfully establishing a regional stormwater

1 authority.

2 I truly believe that a regional
3 approach is the only way to effectively manage
4 stormwater. That's all I have.

5 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans,
6 any motions or comments?

7 MR. EVANS: A few comments. A few
8 weeks ago, Councilman Donahue and I attended a
9 demonstration of a service called Cleargov dot
10 com. This is a platform that will provide
11 additional transparency to our citizens and how
12 government is performing, especially on the
13 finance side.

14 It combines information provided by
15 the City with existing data like census
16 information to provide a clear and user
17 friendly interface for everyone who chooses to
18 access it.

19 When this becomes a reality for the
20 City, it will become another piece in our
21 continuing effort at creating what is called an
22 open data mindset in Scranton. This is where
23 the spirit -- in the spirit of transparency,
24 data is readily available for almost anyone to
25 use.

1 Across the country there is a
2 movement to create these open data cities and
3 communities. And believe it or not, Scranton
4 can be a leader in this movement.

5 Council initiative like Granicus
6 which for the first time has given citizens
7 immediate online access to our agendas as well
8 as supporting and backup information. This has
9 been up and running for quite some time with
10 City Council.

11 And we hope at some point it could
12 be expanded to all the boards and commissions
13 as well as individual departments within the
14 City.

15 Additionally, we expect to see
16 SeeClickFix implemented soon. This simple
17 concept starts with a citizen facing app that
18 allows reports -- citizens' report, quality of
19 life issues directly to the responsible city
20 department. And at the same time, the
21 residents will be kept informed as to the
22 progress of the request through the same app.

23 On the City side, it would
24 streamline work processes and communication to
25 citizens and to each other. The analytics

1 provided through this app will provide another
2 open data source and will provide for immediate
3 improvements in workflow and a better decision
4 making process as to where the resources should
5 be allocated.

6 Another initiative that we expect to
7 happen this year, the Smart City kiosk concept
8 will provide additional information about
9 activities around the city. This information
10 will be literally at citizens and visitors'
11 fingertips with interactive touchscreens
12 located initially throughout the downtown.

13 On the street side, on the on-street
14 parking side, we are pursuing a technology
15 driven kiosk style system instead of the broken
16 down meter system currently in effect. I would
17 expect a tremendous amount of the data that
18 will be provided so when informed decisions
19 then can be made on parking almost immediately
20 in the future.

21 All of these are either in place or
22 starting soon or should happen in 2019. And an
23 important byproduct will be more data for
24 everyone to review and everyone to share.
25 These type of open data initiatives and

1 policies are all things that this City Council
2 has long advocated for.

3 We know that knowledge is -- or data
4 is knowledge and knowledge can be power, the
5 kind of power that should make all of us
6 citizens, elected officials, city employees
7 more responsive, more efficient, and more
8 informed. And that's all I have for tonight.
9 Thanks.

10 MR. ROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Evans.
11 Mr. Gaughan, any motions or comments?

12 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, thank you.
13 First, we received three applications from
14 residents that were interested in being members
15 of the Ethics Board. The deadline was today at
16 4:30 p.m.

17 So Council will review those cover
18 letters and resumes. And then I'm sure we'll
19 make a decision within the next, I assume, week
20 or two. So I'll keep everybody posted on that.

21 We did receive from Mr. Bulzoni an
22 update on the signs. I think Mrs. Jeffries
23 asked about that last week. The company that
24 was contracted to do that work is almost
25 finished with the GPS mapping.

1 And then according to Mr. Bulzoni,
2 what's going to take place is, all that
3 information will be uploaded into a database.
4 And the City will replace the signs section by
5 section hopefully starting in the spring.

6 Regarding the question with the
7 Parking Authority, I think I have an answer for
8 that. The way that -- Mr. Bolus brought up the
9 500 parking spaces. The way I understand that
10 is the way the deal worked, the Parking
11 Authority was going to sell to Steamtown 300,
12 LLC, the Electric City Garage.

13 So the Scranton Parking Authority
14 entered into two separate lease agreements with
15 Steamtown 300. The first one was a lease
16 agreement for 500 dedicated parking spaces
17 within the Steamtown Mall garages. The second
18 one was a lease agreement for all parking
19 spaces within the Electric City Garage.

20 The spaces that Mr. Bolus is
21 referring to were incorporated as a component
22 of the public parking system and NDC was
23 entitled to 100 percent of any of the revenue
24 generated. So I don't know if that answers
25 your question, but there's not -- I don't think

1 there's 500 dedicated parking spaces per se
2 that you or I could go in and park at.

3 They're just -- you could park
4 anywhere within the Steamtown Parking Garage.
5 Any revenue from that goes to NDC because
6 they're the company as a nonprofit that runs
7 the garage.

8 The second question regarding
9 Mr. Hinton, that whole process was actually
10 bid out. It was publicly bid. I know that's
11 been mentioned a couple times. But it was in
12 the newspaper because when that whole thing had
13 come up, we had researched that. So that was
14 publicly bid.

15 Mrs. Schumacher and Miss Hodowanitz
16 had several questions regarding the stormwater
17 analysis, if you wouldn't mind putting those in
18 writing or sending them via e-mail and I'll do
19 my best to try to get those answered and
20 forward them to the appropriate parties.

21 I'd also like to make a motion to
22 put the stormwater analysis in Third Order for
23 the next Council meeting.

24 MR. ROGAN: Is there a second?

25 MR. EVANS: Second.

1 MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
2 those in favor signify by saying aye.

3 MR. PERRY: Aye.

4 MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

5 MR. EVANS: Aye.

6 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

7 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
8 have it and so moved.

9 MR. GAUGHAN: In regards to the
10 stormwater meeting that was held tonight, just
11 a few observations, the first one is, you know,
12 I didn't think that we had enough time to ask
13 enough questions. I had more questions. I'm
14 going to get together and put them in writing
15 with Mr. Dill and Mr. Bulzoni.

16 But I would ask in the future if
17 those meetings could be at least an hour or an
18 hour and a half. There was a lot of
19 information presented there. I just don't
20 think we had enough time for the back and
21 forth.

22 As I mentioned during the meeting,
23 this idea of a stormwater tax or a rain tax,
24 whatever you want to call it is inherently
25 unfair. You know, the -- it's an unfunded

1 mandate from the federal government. And
2 they're just kind of wiping their hands and
3 letting the municipalities and the boroughs
4 kind of fend for themselves.

5 And this rain tax or stormwater tax
6 is going to hurt the older communities in
7 Pennsylvania, industrial old, industrial cities
8 like ourselves the most because we have the
9 oldest infrastructure. And I just think that
10 if the government is going to impose something
11 like this, a mandate like this, then they
12 should do more to help fund it.

13 Spend some of the millions of
14 dollars that they throw around every place else
15 and put it into the infrastructure here in the
16 city and up and down the east coast where we're
17 going to be affected here.

18 I'm also, you know, a little bit
19 skeptical about creating another authority. I
20 think Mr. Norton mentioned, you know, the
21 Scranton Sewer Authority, different authorities
22 that we've had in the city. I just am
23 skeptical that that's going to work, another
24 bureaucracy instituting a fee, collecting a
25 fee.

1 I think Miss Hodowanitz mentioned,
2 you know, is it going to be \$8 forever. When
3 did -- my question during the meeting was when
4 does this type of thing end? What's the end
5 game? What's the goal? I know there are
6 certain requirements.

7 This is something that we're going
8 to have to do because it is a mandate, but I
9 just think it is inherently unfair especially
10 in light of the situation that we find
11 ourselves in in the city where you have a
12 school district that is increasing taxes, a
13 city that I'm assuming in the next few years
14 taxes are going to have to go up.

15 Our payroll does not go down. It
16 goes up at least over a million dollars every
17 year. The county hasn't raised taxes in a few
18 years. I'm certain they're going to have raise
19 taxes, especially with the stormwater
20 responsibilities that they're going to have.
21 So, you know, I just -- I find it really
22 unfair. The other thing that struck me was the
23 regional authority.

24 I wonder how realistic that is. And
25 I question why that -- why those conversations

1 haven't taken place already. You know, this
2 has been no secret. The MS4 permit
3 requirements, this analysis that was done
4 tonight, and the fact that just now we're going
5 to start reaching out is a concern.

6 And I wonder how realistic it is at
7 this point that other boroughs and
8 municipalities would join in anyways. I did
9 mention an article in the caucus. There is a
10 state representative from Kingston who is
11 actually -- wrote a letter to the Attorney
12 General saying that the EPA mandate violates
13 the Federal Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of
14 1995.

15 It's from an article just from
16 today. I don't know where that will go. But I
17 do know that all of the -- a lot of the people
18 down in Luzerne County are outraged about this
19 whole thing and rightfully so. So is it going
20 to happen? Yes, because it's a mandate. But I
21 don't think -- I just don't think it's fair.

22 And I think that, you know, we as a
23 Council and hopefully the Mayor can reach out
24 to all of our elected officials and in the
25 Congress and in the Senate and implore them to

1 find funding for this and give us more help
2 because we cannot have our citizens continue to
3 shoulder the burden for all of these type of
4 projects that the government wants to stick in
5 our lap and then kind of look the other way.

6 So we'll keep following it moving
7 forward. But I just -- I'm very skeptical
8 about the whole thing at this point. Thank
9 you.

10 MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a brief
11 comment on the stormwater caucus. I did make
12 these comments during that part of the meeting
13 as well. And I know a number of my colleagues
14 have hit on these points as well.

15 Unfortunately the stormwater
16 regulations are, in deed, a federal mandate and
17 an unfunded mandate at that. This issue is not
18 unique to Scranton. As Councilman Gaughan
19 mentioned, Luzerne County had had a -- quite a
20 bit of controversy about how they handled it
21 down there.

22 So all municipalities in the
23 Chesapeake Bay Watershed have to comply with
24 these federal regulations to clean up the water
25 that's being dumped into our rivers that

1 eventually dump into the Chesapeake Watershed.

2 So that's what this whole issue is
3 about. And unfortunately, it's very, very
4 costly to do this. We have a system in our
5 city which one of the speakers brought up which
6 there's a lot of combined system. Much of that
7 was sold off in the sewer transaction.

8 But there's still a large portion of
9 the system that the City is responsible for
10 currently. And as time goes on as those
11 systems do become separated, the City will be
12 responsible either through an authority through
13 the city, a regional authority or through our
14 Department of Public Works in maintaining all
15 of that system.

16 It's my understanding that the
17 actual permit which is called an MS4 permit has
18 to be held by a government agency or a
19 nonprofit -- I'm sorry, a government agency or
20 an authority. It can't be held by a private
21 company. So my general solution when there's a
22 problem is to privatize it.

23 So unfortunately in this case that
24 can't fully be done. But I do think that we
25 should look at public-private partnerships

1 instead of creating an authority if it's
2 possible that this work can be bid out in some
3 fashion. I think that's another solution that
4 we can look at.

5 Again, the government always seems
6 to do things. It takes longer and it costs
7 more money than what can be done in the private
8 sector. But as was mentioned by my colleagues,
9 this is the first of many discussions on this
10 topic.

11 Unfortunately, unless there is a
12 court case that results in a win, which you
13 know could take many, many years, we are going
14 to have to live with this mandate from the
15 federal government.

16 So it's our job to take all of
17 these different ideas and to come up with a
18 solution that works best, not only for the
19 homeowners but also for businesses within our
20 city who if you watched the presentation,
21 they're going to be hit pretty hard by that as
22 well.

23 So there'll be much more to come on
24 that issue. And that is all. Thank you.

25 MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION -

1 AN ORDINANCE – AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
2 NO. 17, 2018, AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
3 4, 2018 ENTITLED “AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF
4 THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED “AN ORDINANCE
5 (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING
6 BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENACT ‘A WASTE
7 DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE’ FOR THE PURPOSE OF
8 RAISING REVENUE TO COVER THE
9 WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY
10 THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF
11 REFUSE”, BY IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND
12 COLLECTION FEE OF \$300.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR
13 2019 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE
14 AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER” TO EXTEND THE
15 MAY 1, 2019 DISCOUNT DATE TO MAY 31,
16 2019 TO ENABLE RESIDENTS TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF
17 THE 10% DISCOUNT WHEN PAYING THEIR REFUSE BILL
18 IN FULL.

19 MR. ROGAN: At this time I'll
20 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced
21 into its proper committee.

22 MR. PERRY: So moved.

23 MR. EVANS: Second.

24 MR. ROGAN: On the question?

25 MR. DONAHUE: Yes, on the question

1 I'll be voting to introduce this legislation
2 tonight. But I will not be voting for final
3 passage. Thank you.

4 MR. ROGAN: All those in favor
5 signify by saying aye.

6 MR. PERRY: Aye.

7 MR. ROGAN: Opposed? The ayes have
8 it and so moved.

9 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A
10 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 58,
11 2019 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE
12 INSTALLATION OF TWO (2) "TWO HOUR PARKING ONLY"
13 RESTRICTIONS DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF 934 BEECH
14 STREET ZALESKI'S CLUBHOUSE CAFÉ.

15 MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by
16 title of Item 6-A. What is your pleasure?

17 MR. PERRY: I move that Item 6-A
18 pass reading by title.

19 MR. EVANS: Second.

20 MR. ROGAN: On the question? All
21 those in favor signify by saying aye.

22 MR. PERRY: Aye.

23 MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

24 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

25 MR. EVANS: Aye.

1 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
2 have it and so moved.

3 MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A FOR
4 CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES – FOR
5 ADOPTION – FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 57, 2019 --
6 AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 50, 2018, AN
7 ORDINANCE “AMENDING FILE OF THE
8 COUNCIL NO. 22, 2016 AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED
9 “DEFINING AND AUTHORIZING TAX EXEMPTIONS FROM
10 REAL PROPERTY TAX IN ORDER TO STIMULATE
11 RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL AND OTHER BUSINESS
12 ACTIVITY IN CERTAIN AREAS IN THE CITY OF
13 SCRANTON, ESTABLISHING AN EXEMPTION SCHEDULE
14 AND PROCEDURES FOR OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS,
15 PROVIDING FOR NON-PERMISSIBLE EXEMPTIONS AND
16 LIMITING AMENDMENT THERETO” IN ORDER TO EXPAND
17 THE ELIGIBLE AREAS, INCREASE THE
18 DURATION OF EACH EXEMPTION, AND TO LIFT THE CAP
19 ON EACH EXEMPTION” BY DELETING SECTION 7
20 NON-PERMISSIBLE IN ITS ENTIRETY DUE TO A
21 CLERICAL ERROR.

22 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
23 Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage
24 of Item 7-A.

25 MR. EVANS: Second.

1 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
2 call, please.

3 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

4 MR. PERRY: Yes.

5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

6 MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

8 MR. EVANS: Yes.

9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

10 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

12 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
13 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.

14 MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION BY
15 THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
16 RESOLUTION NO. 105, 2019 - AUTHORIZING THE
17 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOREMAN, CHRISTOPHER
18 JENKINS TO ACT AS AGENT FOR CITY OF SCRANTON
19 FOR EMERGENCY AND DISASTER RELIEF PURSUANT TO
20 THE ROBERT T. STAFFORD DISASTER RELIEF AND
21 EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE ACT, AND AUTHORIZING CITY
22 OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE THE DESIGNATION 2
23 OF AGENT AND THE PEMA PUBLIC DISASTER
24 ASSISTANCE APPLICATION AND AGREEMENT FOR
25 FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE.

1 MR. ROGAN: As Chairperson for the
2 Committee on Rules, I recommend final passage
3 of Item 7-B.

4 MR. PERRY: Second.

5 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
6 call, please.

7 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

8 MR. PERRY: Yes.

9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

10 MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

12 MR. EVANS: Yes.

13 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

14 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

15 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

16 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
17 Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

18 MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION
19 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR
20 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 106, 2019- ACCEPTING
21 A DONATION FROM JOHN F. KENNEDY ELEMENTARY
22 SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE AMOUNT OF TWO HUNDRED
23 AND FIFTY (\$250.00) DOLLARS TO THE CITY
24 OF SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR
25 SCRANTON POLICE SRO SUMMER CAMP.

1 MR. ROGAN: What is the
2 recommendation of the Chairperson for the
3 Committee on Public Safety?

4 MR. PERRY: As Chairperson for the
5 Committee on Public Safety, I recommend final
6 passage of Item 7-C.

7 MR. EVANS: Second.

8 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
9 call, please.

10 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

11 MR. PERRY: Yes.

12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

13 MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

15 MR. EVANS: Yes.

16 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

17 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

19 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
20 Item 7-C legally and lawfully adopted.

21 MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
22 BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS - FOR
23 ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 107, 2019-
24 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
25 CITY OFFICIALS TO EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A

1 COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES
2 OF AMERICA AND THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR
3 REHABILITATION OF NON-FEDERAL FLOOD CONTROL
4 WORK IN SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA ON SCRANTON
5 STAFFORD MEADOW BROOK.

6 MR. ROGAN: What is the
7 recommendation of the Chairperson for the
8 Committee on Public Works?

9 MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
10 Committee on Public Works I recommend final
11 passage of Item 7-D.

12 MR. PERRY: Second.

13 MR. ROGAN: On the question? Roll
14 call, please.

15 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry.

16 MR. PERRY: Yes.

17 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

18 MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

19 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.

20 MR. EVANS: Yes.

21 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

22 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.

23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.

24 MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
25 Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted. If

1 there's no further business, I'll entertain a
2 motion to adjourn.

3 MR. PERRY: Motion to adjourn.

4 MR. ROGAN: This meeting is
5 adjourned.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

1
2
3 I hereby certify that the proceedings and
4 evidence are contained fully and accurately in the
5 notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy
6 is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my
7 ability.

8
9
10 _____
11 Maria McCool, RPR
12 Official Court Reporter
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 (The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not
23 apply to any reproduction of the same by any means
24 unless under the direct control and/or supervision of
25 the certifying reporter.)