_		
		2
1	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
2		
3	PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT	
4	TIMOTHY PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT (not present)	
5	WAYNE EVANS	
6	WILLIAM GAUGHAN	
7 8	KYLE DONAHUE	
9		
10		
11	LORI REED, CITY CLERK	
12	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
13	AMIL MINORA, ESQUIRE - SOLICITOR	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	· ·
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and a
2	moment of reflection observed.)
3	
4	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. Mr.
6	Donahue.
7	MR. DONAHUE: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
9	MR. EVANS: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. ROGAN: Here. Please dispense
14	with the reading of the minutes.
15	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER. 3-A.
16	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF SCRANTON
17	REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY HELD FEBRUARY 6, 2019.
18	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
19	If not, received and filed.
20	MS. REED: 3-B. MINUTES OF THE
21	LACKAWANNA COUNTY LAND BANK MEETING HELD
22	FEBRUARY 8, 2019.
23	MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?
24	If not, received and filed.
25	MS. REED: 3-C. MINUTES OF THE

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION MEETING HELD FEBRUARY, 1 2 7, 2019. 3 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 4 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-D. MINUTES OF THE 5 REGULAR MEETING OF THE MEMBERS OF SCRANTON 6 HOUSING AUTHORITY HELD FEBRUARY 4, 2019. 7 8 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 9 Received and filed. MS. REED: 3-E TAX ASSESSOR'S REPORT 10 FOR HEARING DATE TO BE HELD MARCH 27, 11 12 2019. 13 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 14 If not, received and filed. MS. REED: 3-F. ARCADIS FINAL REPORT 15 PRESENTED MARCH 11, 2019 FOR THE CITY OF 16 17 SCRANTON STORMWATER IMPLEMENTATION STUDY, 18 STORMWATER FEE RATE STRUCTURE AND STORMWATER FEE STUDY. 19 20 MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments? 21 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I have one 22 Miss Hodowanitz had asked several comment. 23 questions. She put them in writing. So we 24 forwarded those questions to Mr. Bulzoni, the 25 Business Administrator after last week's

caucus, that would be Wednesday, March 13th.

Mrs. Reed had met with Mr. Bulzoni.

So I just want to read kind of what his response was. He emphasized that the ARCADIS presentation was a preliminary analysis. Additional information relative to Miss Hodowanitz's questions will be forthcoming.

This is a formative process. And at this point there is no commitment to anything in place. Unfortunately, the questions cannot be fully addressed at this time. Information from the analysis has just been released. The digitizing was a lengthy process.

And to note once again, reducing pollutants has been mandated by DEP and the EPA. So I don't know where Miss Hodowanitz is in the crowd, but that was the response that we received. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Any other comments? Received and filed.

MS. REED: 3-G. CONTROLLER'S REPORT FOR MONTH ENDING FEBRUARY 28, 2019.

MR. ROGAN: Are there any comments?

If not, received and filed. Do any Council

members have announcements at this time? 1 Before we begin, I see we have a large number 2 3 of students from Scranton Prep here once again. I'd like to welcome you to our meeting. 4 5 Hopefully it will be a quick and relatively painless experience for you. Thanks. 6 MR. GAUGHAN: I have two 7 8 announcements. 9 MR. ROGAN: Sure. 10 MR. GAUGHAN: Two actually birthday announcements. I usually don't do this. 11 12 William Buddy Cosgrove, I would like to wish 13 him a happy birthday, 90 years old; North 14 Scranton's finest. And also I'd like to wish a belated 15 16 happy birthday to Cara Van Fleet. She's a 17 regular City Council watcher. So I would like 18 to wish her all the best. Thank you. MS. REED: FORTH ORDER. CITIZENS' 19 PARTICIPATION. 20 21 (The following speakers offered 22 public comment as follows: 23 Joan Hodowanitz spoke on city 24 business and matters of general concern. 25 Bob Bolus spoke on city business and

1 matters of general concern. Ron Ellman spoke on matters of 2 3 general concern. Dave Dobrzyn spoke on matters of 4 5 general concern. Marie Schumacher spoke on city 6 7 business. 8 MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A. 9 MOTIONS. 10 MR. ROGAN: Mr. Donahue, any motions 11 or comments? 12 MR. DONAHUE: Yes, first off, Ms. 13 Reed, I've gotten a few complaints from 14 residents of the 3200 block of Oak Street back It's regarding flooding of basements 15 Minooka. 16 since Oak Street was repaved specifically on 17 the lower side of the street. This is similar to complaints we got 18 19 from residents in the 3000 block of Colliery 20 Avenue back there. So would you be able to 21 send -- pass this along to DPW and the City 22 Engineer and have them check it out, please? 23 Also just to briefly touch on 24 stormwater, it is extremely important to

continuously stress the point that the City is

25

mandated by the state and federal government to develop a stormwater management plan. We have to do it. No question about it.

But to be completely honest, even if it wasn't mandated, it is probably something we should be considering anyway. What happens to property values in a city that can't properly manage stormwater or protect its natural resources?

Our natural resources have the potential to be one of our greatest assets if we protect them. Climate change is real and it's time to act. And lastly just concerning this morning's article on the building assessment of City Hall, just the suggestion of selling this building is absolutely ridiculous to me, especially not having all the information such as the question of could we even sell this building for what it's worth.

What about the connection to the Fire Headquarters? There's been no research done on the deed to see if this building is even sellable. So that's why I'm 100 percent against selling this building.

And I strongly urge the Mayor and

his administration to prioritize the suggestion within this report, develop a plan to modernize this building and then execute that plan.

This building does not belong to individual Mayors or individual Councils. This building belongs to each and every citizen of this city as well as those that came before us and should continue to belong to those who come after us. And that's all I have tonight. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Evans, any motions or comments?

MR. EVANS: A few. I want to touch on the City Hall issue as well. First I want to expand on my comments that I made in the newspaper this morning. We are not only the stewards of this government, we are the stewards of this building as well.

There is lots of reasons we find ourselves here today including the stresses of difficult finance conditions. Some of it is self-inflicted, some of it not. But for whatever the reasons were, for far too long those charged with the responsibility of maintaining this building did not.

Those responsible for preserving this building to the level we all should expect it to be did not. Maybe they did the best they could. But now we're left dealing with decades into generations of deferred maintenance and here we are today.

To be totally upfront, I'm a preservationist at heart. I still serve on the board of the Architectural Heritage Association and I'm a former president of that group. I'm a former member of the HARP. And over the years I restored several properties of my own and in the process of restoring another one now.

So I will not accept the premise that we will ever walk away from this significant building. I have watched the model of school districts and other cities that across the state, in the country where they were mesmerized by the shinny new building while abandoning the old one with no plan in place for saving and restoring what they leave behind.

That cannot happen here. And it cannot happen to this building. We are much

better than that. And I will not be part of a mindset that will kick this can down the road again.

This building should be a reflection of who we are as a city and as citizens. It should be strong. It should be resilient. It should be cared for. It should be a place of pride and hope for all of us. In the coming months, there will be a lot of debate on the future of this municipal building.

And as everybody knows, I'm always willing to listen to creative ideas. But at the end of the day, I will not bend on my position and we need to find a way to preserve and restore this building. And how we accomplish that has yet to be determined. But we should tread carefully while accepting the full responsibility for its future.

On a totally separate topic, I recently was sent a photo of a sign that was posted outside of a building. It was not your normal business sign. It was -- it wasn't even advertising for the business inside. It was just a fairly simple call for action.

After a little investigation, I

found out the sign was located on the front of a small business on J Street in Sacramento,
California. And all it said was, "Every day is your chance to make this city a little better."

Every day is your chance to make this city a little better. Pretty simple and pretty powerful. So I don't know what I'm going to do with that. I have a few ideas rolling around in my head. But for now at the very least at the end of every meeting, they will be my last comments before I finish. Every day is your chance to make this city a little better. That's all I have for tonight.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Mr. Gaughan, any motions or comments?

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I'd like to first make a motion that City Council request that our Solicitor, Amil Minora prepare legislation nominating Bruce Reddock and Mary Jo Sheridan as Council's representatives on the Ethics Board.

MR. EVANS: Second.

MR. ROGAN: On the question.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question, you know we received three applications or

three resumes and cover letters for the Ethics
Board. Council gets two appointments. The
Mayor gets two appointments. And the City
Controller gets one appointment.

I appreciate everyone that applied.

And all of the resumes and cover letters were very impressive. And in this climate, political climate, I think it's very difficult to get anyone to, you know, be involved, number one, in politics and public service; and number two, especially on an Ethics Board.

So I really appreciate the fact that these three individuals took the time to submit their resume, submit their cover letter. And I think all of my colleagues would agree.

So after looking through all of them, I do think Mr. Reddock and Mrs. Sheridan are the most qualified. And, you know, I hope they will -- I'm confident they will do a good job on the board. So I look forward to voting on that next week.

MR. ROGAN: And Council did discuss this both last week and this week. And I think -- at least I know for the four of us here today, we unanimously agreed on these two

picks.

MR. GAUGHAN: And if we can find out, kind of put you back on this, Mrs. Reed, so since we're hopefully going to vote on this next week if you could inquire with the Mayor's office and City Controller on when they're going to send this legislation to appoint their representatives. Thank you.

MR. ROGAN: All those in favor?

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

MR. EVANS: Aye.

MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MR. GAUGHAN: Council sent a letter February 27th to the Controller about a 100 -- and copied the Business Administrator about a \$130,609.86 variance in the financial report that we received. We asked, you know, what this was all about. We did not receive a response yet.

So I asked Mrs. Reed in the caucus to ask for an update from the City Controller and the Business Administrator. And I would like something in writing.

I was explaining in the caucus and I've said this the past few weeks we are looking -- City Council's looking at getting an intern from the University of Scranton into our office. So Mrs. Reed and I met with Matt Coughlin who is the Student Body President and Julie Cohen. That meeting went really well.

The University's excited. I think we're excited about it. So right now they are cleaning up some of the language with the application that students would have to apply for this position. And we're looking at either the summer or the fall to get a student in to be an intern.

So as I was mentioning, you know, in the caucus earlier, the goal here is not to have someone come in and just file paperwork or answer phones. This is going to be an actual experience for the student. We talked with Julie Cohen about Capstone Project and Matt Coughlin and some research that the students would be able to do.

So we're pretty excited about that.

So I'll continue to update the public as we get more information. We did have a caucus tonight

at 5:15 with the American Lung Association.

I've met with them before a few months ago on the topic that I'm about to talk about and then even before that we had passed legislation creating the Young Lungs Program which banned tobacco in parks throughout the city.

The American Lung Association tonight gave a presentation that I thought was shocking in many ways. And -- but I thought it was great that they were bringing light to this issue. And the issue is the epidemic of e-cigarettes and/or vaping in the City of Scranton and in the United States as a whole.

We've seen according to their information a 78 percent increase in high school students using e-cigarettes and vaping. So it is an epidemic. They also explain how the retail part of it where they sell the cigarettes is largely unregulated.

So what they're looking at and they're requesting Council's help is implementing point of sale policies by eliminating external advertising. So one of the things I'm going to ask next week that our Solicitor investigate is whether we can limit

the location of tobacco retail establishments selling e-cigarettes and other tobacco products within a thousand feet of schools to protect children, number one; and number two, to require the posting of Pennsylvania Free Quitline signage.

In their analysis of different retail establishments in the city, only 14 percent of the stores in Scranton have that signage. And according to the American Lung Association, we have the ability to make that a requirement. And I think that we should do that.

I think it will do two things, reduce exposure to pro tobacco messages. And it will decrease youth tobacco use. So this is just the beginning. I think Scranton as we have been with some other issues have been the leader on this throughout the state. So next week, as I said, I will ask Solicitor Minora for his input and we'll move forward from there.

MR. ROGAN: Thank you. Just a couple comments regarding the article that was in the front page of the paper this morning. I

did have -- get quite a bit of input from residents already regarding the sale of City Hall or City Hall moving to another location.

Unlike my colleagues that have made comments, I take a different position. I think we need to look at all options. And at the end of the day, it's going to come down to what the most cost-effective way to have our government services, whether it's to repair City Hall which has been mentioned it's been neglected for 40 years.

And that is really why we're in the situation we're in that there weren't any major repairs made to this building over the past 40 years. So now we're stuck with possibly 10 million dollar cost to repair this building. Looking on the other side of that coin, building a new building would cost significantly more than that.

New construction at least as far as I'm concerned is possibly not a wise financial option. There are, however, other buildings that are newer that have been constructed within the last 10, 20, 30 years that may be good options for the city. And I do think we

need to look at all of those options.

I know just recently the -- what everyone calls the Southern Union Building was up for sale, built maybe 15, 20 years ago, larger, much more modern, more energy efficient. That building was for sale for 5 million dollars.

So spend 5 million dollars to buy a relatively new building or spend 10 million dollars bringing this building up to, you know, getting it as modernized as we can. But at the end of the day, this building's always going to be a 100 year old building.

Is it beautiful? Of course it is.

And I want to see it restored as well. But if it's going to cost millions and millions of taxpayers dollars more to restore this building versus going to a more modern facility, I would be in favor of moving to a modern facility.

That being said, I do support the legislation that is on the agenda tonight. Since a decision hasn't been made and it's certainly not a decision that should be made quickly, you know, I will vote in favor of applying for the grant for funds to

rehabilitate City Hall if we do stay in this location.

But I do think everyone needs to keep a very open mind because if there is another option that is a million dollars less, multiple million dollars less and is more modern, it's a no-brainer to look at those other options.

I do have a lot of question about the cost of these renovations. Obviously some of them are things that are pretty easy to quantify. But then there is also soft costs listed that are in excess of a million dollars. We are going to request Highland Associates to give a presentation on this to see if we can get a little more information on what some of those costs are.

And also regarding a renovation project of this size, certainly it's not going to be done overnight. I have questions about how if the decision is to keep City Hall in its current location, how the current operations will continue while the building is under a full remodel.

A ten million dollar remodel really

should be from top to bottom. So that's quite a bit of renovation. And I have questions on how that's going to impact the current operations that we have going on now as well.

But again, this discussion is really in its infancy. I know the article in the paper got a lot of people talking. You know, as I mentioned, it's a beautiful building. I would like to see it preserved but not if it's going to cost millions and millions of dollars more than buying a newer facility somewhere else in the city that would work better for our city employees and financially for our taxpayers. That is all. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. FOR INTRODUCTION —
A RESOLUTION — AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND
OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR
AND EXECUTE A GRANT APPLICATION FOR A
REDEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE CAPITAL
PROGRAM (RACP) THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA'S OFFICE OF THE BUDGET IN THE
AMOUNT OF \$5,376,583.00; ACCEPTING
AND DISBURSING THE GRANT IF THE APPLICATION IS
SUCCESSFUL FOR THE RENOVATION OF THE SCRANTON
MUNICIPAL BUILDING.

1 MR. ROGAN: At this time, I'll 2 entertain a motion that Item 5-B be introduced 3 into its proper committee. 4 MR. EVANS: So moved. MR. DONAHUE: 5 Second. MR. ROGAN: On the question. 6 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 7 8 I would like to make a motion that City Council 9 invite Highland Associates into a caucus next week to discuss this assessment. 10 11 COUNCILPERSON: Second. 12 MR. ROGAN: On the question. MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question. 13 14 I'm not sure what time we're going to schedule this for. But I would just recommend 4:45 or 15 16 4:30 just to give us enough time if they're 17 going to do a PowerPoint presentation and then 18 if we have questions so we don't run out of time. 19 20 MR. EVANS: Yeah, I think we can 21 just check with them and find out what they 22 need as far as a proper presentation and then 23 work with that from there. But definitely I 24 agree we need --25 MR. ROGAN: And we're also working

1	on scheduling a meeting with BA Bulzoni on this
2	topic as well. So we'll work on timing during
3	the week. But I think we all agree that having
4	them come to do a presentation will be helpful.
5	All those in favor?
6	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
8	MR. EVANS: Aye.
9	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
10	have it and so moved.
11	MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A
12	READING BY TITLE
13	MR. ROGAN: Mrs. Reed?
14	MS. REED: Yes?
15	MR. ROGAN: That was just a motion
16	on
17	MS. REED: Sorry.
18	MR. ROGAN: We're still on the
19	question on Item 5-B.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Sorry. Yeah, just on
21	the article today well, first of all, I mean
22	I was surprised to read it in the paper, not
23	surprised by the fact that we had an assessment
24	done because I knew that. But surprised by the
25	fact that there was discussions that we may

sell the building that that would be a possibility. I wasn't aware of that.

I have several questions. I think it's premature to say, you know, what is the best way to do this until we have Highland Associates in and until we have members of the administration in. But I will say this. Before anything is done, we should know how we're going to finance this project.

We're asking for nearly 6 million dollars from the state and then nearly 6 million dollar match from the city. But as everyone knows, you know, the cost of this project will most likely go up. As one speaker mentioned tonight whether it's looking into the building where there may be mold or other things.

So to think that it's going to stay at \$10 I think is -- is not realistic. So my questions would be how are we going to finance this. The other thing that I think we should keep in mind is -- and it goes back to the recovery plan. If we're going to incur any more debt which, you know, every city has to.

And specifically with this building,

I mean, we cannot let it go into disrepair.

There are if you look at the assessment, it's a hundred and some pages. There are major problems with this building. However, in the recovery plan it says that the city should have a debt management policy. And it says the purpose of debt policy is to provide written guidelines to all policy makers and elected officials to ensure the city does not issue too much debt or issue debt for the wrong reasons.

It says a debt management policy should be approved by City Council and the Mayor. It will serve to provide credibility, transparency and to ensure that there is a common understanding among elected officials and staff regarding the city's approach to debt financing.

It goes onto say that with the city's bond indebtedness and annual debt service being reduced to a more manageable level coupled with more sustainable minimal -- Minimum Municipal Obligations for its pensions, it will be important for the city not to backslide into incurring debt for operating costs, pensions, or workers compensation and to

keep annual debt service expenditures at a level that is affordable and sustainable.

In this regard, the city will need to be disciplined in prioritizing and paying for its capital needs by using some pay as you go funding along with the small amount of debt.

So I would just, you know, like to have a conversation as we move forward with the Business Administrator that we have these things in place before we take out or take on any more debt.

The other question I would just pose and I thought about today is, is it really realistic to sell this building? Is it realistic to build a new facility? These are the questions that we need to think about. I looked since I started on Council, the capital expenditures have increased dramatically, dramatically.

And I just hope that we are not stretching ourselves too thin financially. We just -- we're spending money at the Serrenti Center that we acquired. We're taking on that additional responsibility. We have issues within our park system that have been discussed

that it's hard for us to maintain that.

So I just think that as part of this conversation and Councilman Evans alluded to is that we need to make sure that we have a building maintenance plan for the future. And we really should have had one all along. As I said, my guess is that, you know, this 10 million dollar figure will rise once, you know, they come in and look at the different issues that are going on in the building.

But I just think that we should be cautious. We shouldn't jump into anything. We need to make sure that we know how we're going to finance this and that it's feasible. And, you know, I'm just concerned at this point about what's best for the taxpayers and what is best for their money.

But it goes without saying that once you actually sit down and read this report, there are serious issues with this building.

And to leave it go to disrepair would not be fair either. So that's all I have this week.

And I look forward to next week meeting Highland Associates and hopefully someone from the Administration to discuss these issues in

1 detail. Thank you. 2 MR. ROGAN: Anyone else on the 3 question? All those in favor of introduction, 4 signify by saying aye. 5 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. 6 7 MR. EVANS: Aye. 8 MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes 9 have it and so moved. MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 10 11 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 59, 2019 - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE 12 COUNCIL NO. 17, 2018, AMENDING 13 14 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 4, 2018 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 15 16 1994 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) 17 AUTHORIZING THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF 18 SCRANTON TO ENACT 'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' FOR THE PURPOSE 19 OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER THE WASTE DISPOSAL 20 21 AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF 22 23 REFUSE", BY IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND 24 COLLECTION FEE OF \$300.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2019 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN 25

1	FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER" TO
2	EXTEND THE MAY 1, 2019 DISCOUNT DATE TO MAY 31,
3	2019 TO ENABLE RESIDENTS TO TAKE
4	ADVANTAGE OF THE 10% DISCOUNT WHEN PAYING THEIR
5	REFUSE BILL IN FULL.
6	MR. ROGAN: You've heard reading by
7	title of Item 6-A. What is your pleasure?
8	MR. EVANS: I move that Item 6-A
9	pass reading by title.
10	MR. ROGAN: Second. On the
11	question. All those in favor signify by saying
12	aye.
13	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.
15	MR. EVANS: Aye.
16	MR. ROGAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes
17	have it and so moved.
18	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A.
19	FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC
20	WORKS - FOR ADOPTION - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
21	58, 2019 - AUTHORIZING THE INSTALLATION OF TWO
22	(2) "TWO HOUR PARKING ONLY" RESTRICTIONS
23	DIRECTLY IN FRONT OF 934 BEECH STREET ZALESKI'S
24	CLUBHOUSE CAFÉ.
25	MR. ROGAN: What is the

1	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
2	Committee on Public Works?
3	MR. GAUGHAN: As Chairperson for the
4	Committee on Public Works, I recommend final
5	passage of Item 7-A.
6	MR. EVANS: Second.
7	MR. ROGAN: On the question.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, on the question.
9	I just want to say that Zaleski's is a great
10	business within the city. And I'm hoping that
11	this legislation alleviates the parking issues
12	that have been going on up there. Thank you.
13	MR. ROGAN: Roll call, please.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
15	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Evans.
17	MR. EVANS: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
21	MR. ROGAN: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. If
23	there is no further business, I'll entertain a
24	motion to adjourn.
25	MR. EVANS: Motion to adjourn.

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool, RPR

Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)