

1	COUNCIL MEMBERS:
2	
3	WILLIAM GAUGHAN, PRESIDENT
4	KYLE DONAHUE, VICE PRESIDENT
5	MARK MCANDREW
6	JESSICA ROTHCHILD
7	THOMAS SCHUSTER
8	
9	LORI REED, CITY CLERK
10	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK
11	KEVIN HAYES, COUNCIL SOLICITOR
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

_	
	3
1	(Pledge of Allegiance.)
2	
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Please remain standing
4	for a moment of silent reflection for our
5	service men and women throughout the world and
6	for all those who have passed away in our
7	community.
8	And just as we have since the
9	beginning of this pandemic, we'll take a
10	moment of silence for all those people in our
11	community and Lackawanna County and in Scranton
12	and our country and around the globe who have
13	passed away from the COVID-19.
14	This pandemic as everyone knows has
15	turned our world completely upside down. But
16	we must remain hopeful and strong.
17	The next few months are going to be
18	extremely difficult. And we will continue to
19	pray for the doctors, nurses, researchers and
20	all medical professionals who seek to heal and
21	help those affected and who put themselves at
22	risk in the process. May they have protection
23	and peace.
24	Whether we are here home in Scranton
25	or abroad, surrounded by many people suffering

-	
	4
1	from this illness or only a few, let us stick
2	together, endure together, mourn together and
3	in place of our anxiety, let us have hope and
4	peace. Thank you. Okay, roll call, please?
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Schuster.
6	MR. SCHUSTER: Present.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.
8	MR. MCANDREW: Present.
9	MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild.
10	DR. ROTHCHILD: Here.
11	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
12	MR. DONAHUE: Here.
13	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Here. Thank you, Miss
15	Carrera. Councilman Donahue?
16	MR. DONAHUE: I would like to make a
17	motion to take from the table file of the
18	Council No. 31 of 2020.
19	MR. MCANDREW: Second.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? This
21	piece of legislation is being taken from the
22	table and is being placed in Seventh Order for
23	a final vote. The legislation approves the
24	designation of the 300 block of Center Street
25	as a one way from Penn Avenue towards Wyoming

-	
	5
1	Avenue. Anyone else on the question? All
2	those in favor signify by saying aye.
3	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
4	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
5	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
6	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
8	ayes have it and so moved. Mrs. Reed, please
9	dispense with the reading of the minutes.
10	MS. REED: Thank you. THIRD ORDER.
11	3-A. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM
12	OECD DATED NOVEMBER 6, 2020 REGARDING NEPA
13	ALLIANCE DISCLOSURES AS REQUESTED BY COUNCIL.
14	3-B. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM
15	OECD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DATED NOVEMBER 6, 2020
16	REGARDING COVID- 19 REIMBURSEMENTS THROUGH
17	LACKAWANNA COUNTY CARES ACT FUNDING.
18	3-C. CHECK RECEIVED FROM COMCAST IN
19	THE AMOUNT OF \$259,772.26 FOR QUARTERLY
20	FRANCHISE FEE.
21	3-D. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM
22	FIRETREE, LTD. DATED NOVEMBER 3, 2020 REGARDING
23	RESIDENTIAL RE-ENTRY SERVICES AT 409-411 OLIVE
24	STREET.
25	3-E. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM

	6
1	KOHANSKI COMPANY PC DATED NOVEMBER
2	9, 2020 REGARDING CITY OF SCRANTON AUDIT
3	UPDATE.
4	3-F. HERBERT, ROWLAND & GRUBIC,
5	INC. PRESENTATION TO CITY COUNCIL DATED
6	NOVEMBER 10, 2020 REGARDING CHESAPEAKE BAY
7	POLLUTANT REDUCTION PLAN.
8	3-G. CITY OF SCRANTON 2020
9	HEALTHCARE UPDATE PRESENTED BY WILLIS
10	TOWERS WATSON ON NOVEMBER 10, 2020.
11	3-H. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM
12	OECD EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR DATED NOVEMBER 12, 2020
13	REGARDING COVID-19 REIMBURSEMENTS THROUGH
14	LACKAWANNA COUNTY CARES ACT FUNDING.
15	3-I. CITY OF SCRANTON GENERAL
16	OBLIGATION TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE
17	SUMMARY OF TERMS AND CONDITIONS RECEIVED
18	NOVEMBER 10, 2020.
19	3-J. MEMORANDUM RECEIVED FROM
20	COUNCIL SOLICITOR DATED NOVEMBER 10,
21	2020 REGARDING SUGGESTED AMENDMENT TO FILE OF
22	THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 300 BLOCK OF CENTER
23	STREET ONE-WAY DESIGNATION.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed.
25	Are there any comments on any of the Third

Order items?

•	
2	MR. SCHUSTER: Yes, I had three
3	comments on Third Order items. I asked them in
4	caucus. But one was with 3-B and also 3-H with
5	the CARES Act funding. I guess the comment on
6	it would be, these seem to be new fees.
7	We've already submitted for about
8	\$800,000 to the county. We've only been
9	partially funded for about 500,000. And it
10	seems that we have another 300,000 here related
11	to that.
12	And I think we need to be careful
13	with how we're spending this money and keep a
14	close eye on this. It really concerns me how
15	much is being spent there. And then recycling
16	I see was added to those COVID funds as well,
17	which is something I think we need to get a
18	little deeper into there.
19	And then I did have a question with
20	regards to the working draft and the finance
21	statements that Kohanski and Company they
22	stated they were going to provide in their
23	correspondence. It appears that we're still
24	waiting on some they're still waiting on
25	some outstanding items from administration and

I	Π
	8
1	I don't think any of us see where they received
2	those items. That's all.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Anyone
4	else on the Third Order items? Okay. I just
5	have one in reference to Item 3-I, the term
6	sheet for the Tax Anticipation Note. There was
7	a typographical error regarding the paying
8	agent.
9	It was incorrectly stated on the
10	term sheet and has now been corrected to
11	reflect that Fidelity Bank is the paying agent
12	so just to take note of that. If there are no
13	other comments, they are received and filed.
14	Do any Council members have any
15	announcements at this time?
16	MR. DONAHUE: Yeah, I have a couple.
17	Just a reminder that garbage pickup is on
18	schedule this week. And also I believe City
19	Hall and everything is closed on Thursday next
20	week for Thanksgiving. So garbage will be
21	picked up Friday and Saturday, pushed back next
22	week.
23	Also, there's Fall Leaf and
24	Recycling Program, all leaves must be placed in
25	biodegradable brown paper bags. The City's ran

	9
1	out of bags. But they could still be purchased
2	at your local hardware and home improvement
3	stores. All collections will be from the
4	curbside of streets and avenues. No
5	collections in courts or alleys. No loose
6	piles, no plastic bags, no household trash in
7	leaf bags.
8	The remaining weeks for that
9	schedule are next week the week of November
10	24th and also the week of December 7th.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Anyone
12	else have any announcements?
13	MR. MCANDREW: I have one. So every
14	year Catholic Social Services and Friends of
15	the Poor have Christmas Gifts For Kids Program.
16	This year they have to do it a little
17	differently. What people need to do is either
18	go to the Friends of the Poor's Facebook page
19	and online they could register (audio
20	interruption.)
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Mark, you're freezing
22	up. We can't hear you.
23	MR. MCANDREW: address as well as
24	insurance card, birth certificate or some proof
25	of ID for each child. Those who do not have

10 1 access to a computer or smartphone should call Mary at 570-207-2283, extension 2110. 2 And this 3 registration is started already. So it's 4 Monday the 16th until Friday the 20th. That is 5 all I have. Thank you. MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you. 6 Mark, you were -- we got most of what you said 7 8 but you were freezing up a little bit there 9 just so you know. 10 MR. MCANDREW: All right. Thanks. 11 MR. GAUGHAN: You're welcome. 12 Anyone else? 13 DR. ROTHCHILD: Yeah, I have one. Ι 14 want to mention there are free holiday meals 15 being provided by the NAACP Lackawanna 16 Organizing Committee. So if anyone is in need 17 for this holiday, they could contact the NAACP. 18 They have a Facebook page, NAACP Lackawanna 19 County. Their phone number is 570-234-9794. 20 21 Meals are being prepared by three local 22 restaurants and can be delivered or picked up 23 between the hours of 10 a.m., and 3 p.m., on 24 Thanksgiving Day. And in order to receive the 25 meals, people need to RSVP by November 24th.

11 1 And I think the three restaurants that are providing meals which are all very 2 3 good restaurants include Paradise Soulfood, 4 West Side Flava's and Papis Kitchen. So thanks 5 to those restaurants for donating their food and services to those in need. 6 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you very 8 much. Anyone else? I have two. Just to 9 announce that this Thursday we will have a 10 budget work session. Council will meet with 11 the Mayor and the Business Administrator, Carl 12 Deeley at 6 p.m. It will be live on YouTube as well 13 14 as live on ECTV. So the plan is to go through 15 the budget department by department. We'll 16 start off with revenues and then we'll go into 17 the expenditures by department. 18 So I think Councilman Donahue 19 mentioned about sending any questions in 20 advance if you can do that if you have any major topics or questions. I think that will 21 22 be helpful just so that the administration 23 would come, you know, prepared to have those 24 answers if there are specific questions. 25 The second -- I just want to take a

-	
	12
1	moment. The second thing I would like to say
2	is in honor of Bob McGoff, I just want to say a
3	few words about Bob McGoff. So Bob McGoff as
4	all of you know was the long-time President of
5	Council, long-time Council member.
6	It will be and I had the honor of
7	serving with him for a number of years on
8	Council when I first was elected back in 2014.
9	And it will be five years this Thursday,
10	November 19th that our friend and colleague,
11	Bob McGoff lost his battle with cancer.
12	And I want to take a second as Wayne
13	Evans always did at our Council meetings by
14	reading Bob McGoff's words that he spoke at a
15	Council meeting about his struggle with cancer.
16	And Bob McGoff said, "Just one thing I would
17	like to mention. I would just like to say that
18	I know all of us have been affected in some way
19	by this insidious disease.
20	And I would just encourage that
21	anyone that knows someone who is fighting this
22	or dealing with treatments involved with cancer
23	to be a friend. One of the things that happens
24	to cancer patients and those under treatment,
25	depression is a very significant aspect of it.

13 1 And believe it or not, simply sitting down and talking with people, going 2 3 watching a television show, bringing dinner and 4 having something to eat with them goes a long 5 way to helping people deal with that. And I would just encourage that if you know someone, 6 7 please be a friend and be part of the helping 8 process." 9 Those were Bob McGoff's words. Bob 10 McGoff was someone who understood the 11 importance of even the smallest gesture. 12 Someone that we all will have great respect for 13 and I will always personally admire and his 14 words are worth mentioning once again in his 15 honor and his memory. 16 Bob McGoff was one of the strongest 17 people that I have ever sat next to or seen. 18 He went through his battle with cancer and I 19 never heard him once complain about it. Still 20 showed up to Council meetings, still showed up 21 to meetings with the administration and still 22 led the Council without missing a beat. 23 And he really was a true gentleman. 24 That's the one thing that I will always 25 remember about Bob McGoff. Even when things

would get heated and people would be on opposite sides of the fence on an issue, he always kept his cool and always brought everybody back to the table and back to the middle and kept everyone really grounded and really kept the City together at a very, you know, perilous moment in terms of our finances.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He was outstanding in that regard. And the one thing I will remember about him is, you know, in 2015 when my wife had our first son Jack, one of the first gifts I received was from Bob McGoff. He bought him a pair of his first sneakers. So that's the type of guy that he was.

He was just very down to earth, very compassionate and a very, very kind person. So our thoughts and our prayers are with Marita and the entire McGoff family on the five year anniversary of Bob's passing. And someone that this Council -- he made such an impact that this Council and future Councils will never forget. Mrs. Reed? MS. REED: Thank you. FOURTH ORDER.

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed.

	15
1	At this time, would someone please make a
2	motion to accept public comment from the
3	following individuals: Patricia Nestor, Fay
4	Franus, Dave Dobrzyn and Marie Schumacher.
5	MR. DONAHUE: So moved.
6	MR. SCHUSTER: Second.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion
8	and a second to accept public comment.
9	Mrs. Reed, could you please read the comments
10	into the record?
11	MS. REED: Thank you. The first
12	submission is from Patricia Nestor as follows:
13	Can someone please elaborate on the
14	mayor's intentions to reduce guns & ammunition
15	by over 50%? She posted it on Facebook under
16	plans for 2021 budget with any further
17	comments.
18	If she goes after the second
19	amendment, she might want to budget for some
20	lawsuits.
21	
22	The second submission submitted by
23	Fay Franus as follows:
24	Council,
25	I understand there will be no tax

16 1 increase this year in the city. It does not 2 take a rocket scientist to figure this out. 3 Following in Mayor Doherty's footsteps I see. 4 5 No Tax increase because the Mayor is running for election. 6 7 8 Get ready folks for the taxes to 9 skyrocket in 2022. 10 11 How does the Mayor justify giving 12 raises to city employees AND creating jobs when people are losing their small businesses 13 14 all over this city and people don't have food? 15 16 Can any of you please tell me how 17 this is right? Any of you? Please express how 18 each of you feel about this. 19 20 Create jobs? My gosh don't we 21 already have enough assistants to assistants? 22 23 The Mayor has a chief of staff then 24 there is an assistant to the chief of staff 25 --then an assistant to the city lawyer.

17 1 The list is endless and yet the 2 Mayor wants to create more jobs? 3 4 Does Virginaia McGregor get paid for 5 all of her advice? 6 7 Past Scranton mayors had a secretary 8 and one lawyer. Scranton is NOT Philadelphia. 9 If you approve these budget items to create 10 jobs and give raises when we are still a 11 distressed city with people losing their homes 12 then you need to resign. 13 14 Are there still people furloughed? 15 If so who are they? 16 17 This question has been asked and 18 never answered yet. 19 20 Why can't there we a list of who is 21 laid off and who is already brought back to work? 22 23 24 Why the secrecy? What aren't we 25 suppose to know that is such a secret? And why?

1 Also the garbage fee is set for \$300 2 again. More than likely illegally because what 3 comes in is more than what goes out to run the 4 DPW department. 5 5 6 Then you use the rest to pay your 7 other bills which is against the city law. The 8 garbage fee money coming in from residents is 9 ONLY to be used to pay for running the 10 Department of Public Works nothing else but 11 every year this city has used that extra money 12 we send in to pay all of your other bills! 13 That is why there is a lawsuit 15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 0r is that a secret as well that we 23 Or is that a secret as well that we		
2again. More than likely illegally because what comes in is more than what goes out to run the DPW department.5		18
3 comes in is more than what goes out to run the 4 DPW department. 5 5 6 Then you use the rest to pay your 7 other bills which is against the city law. The 8 garbage fee money coming in from residents is 9 ONLY to be used to pay for running the 10 Department of Public Works nothing else but 11 every year this city has used that extra money 12 we send in to pay all of your other bills! 13 That is why there is a lawsuit 14 That is why there is a lawsuit 15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 Or is that a secret as well that we	1	Also the garbage fee is set for \$300
4 DPW department. 5 5 6 Then you use the rest to pay your other bills which is against the city law. The garbage fee money coming in from residents is 9 0 Department of Public Works nothing else but every year this city has used that extra money we send in to pay all of your other bills! 13 14 14 That is why there is a lawsuit pending. We caught you and you are continuing to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying for over a decade. But you don't care what is the strategy I heard about? 23 Or is that a secret as well that we	2	again. More than likely illegally because what
5 6 7 other bills which is against the city law. The garbage fee money coming in from residents is 9 0NLY to be used to pay for running the 10 Department of Public Works nothing else but 11 every year this city has used that extra money 12 we send in to pay all of your other bills! 13 14 14 That is why there is a lawsuit 15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 19 And 75% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 0r is that a secret as well that we	3	comes in is more than what goes out to run the
6Then you use the rest to pay your7other bills which is against the city law. The8garbage fee money coming in from residents is9ONLY to be used to pay for running the10Department of Public Works nothing else but11every year this city has used that extra money12we send in to pay all of your other bills!13That is why there is a lawsuit15pending. We caught you and you are continuing16to do it again. ILLEGALLY!171820paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying21for over a decade. But you don't care what is22the strategy I heard about?23Or is that a secret as well that we	4	DPW department.
7other bills which is against the city law. The garbage fee money coming in from residents is ONLY to be used to pay for running the Department of Public Works nothing else but every year this city has used that extra money we send in to pay all of your other bills!131414That is why there is a lawsuit pending. We caught you and you are continuing to do it again. ILLEGALLY!171819And 75% of city residents are still paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying for over a decade. But you don't care what is the strategy I heard about?24Or is that a secret as well that we	5	
 garbage fee money coming in from residents is ONLY to be used to pay for running the Department of Public Works nothing else but every year this city has used that extra money we send in to pay all of your other bills! That is why there is a lawsuit pending. We caught you and you are continuing to do it again. ILLEGALLY! And 75% of city residents are still paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying for over a decade. But you don't care what is the strategy I heard about? Or is that a secret as well that we 	6	Then you use the rest to pay your
9 ONLY to be used to pay for running the 10 Department of Public Works nothing else but 11 every year this city has used that extra money 12 we send in to pay all of your other bills! 13 14 That is why there is a lawsuit 15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	7	other bills which is against the city law. The
10Department of Public Works nothing else but11every year this city has used that extra money12we send in to pay all of your other bills!131414That is why there is a lawsuit15pending. We caught you and you are continuing16to do it again. ILLEGALLY!171819And 75% of city residents are still20paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying21for over a decade. But you don't care what is22the strategy I heard about?230r is that a secret as well that we	8	garbage fee money coming in from residents is
11every year this city has used that extra money12we send in to pay all of your other bills!131414That is why there is a lawsuit15pending. We caught you and you are continuing16to do it again. ILLEGALLY!171819And 75% of city residents are still20paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying21for over a decade. But you don't care what is22the strategy I heard about?23Or is that a secret as well that we	9	ONLY to be used to pay for running the
12 we send in to pay all of your other bills! 13 14 That is why there is a lawsuit 15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	10	Department of Public Works nothing else but
13 14 That is why there is a lawsuit 15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	11	every year this city has used that extra money
14That is why there is a lawsuit15pending. We caught you and you are continuing16to do it again. ILLEGALLY!17	12	we send in to pay all of your other bills!
15 pending. We caught you and you are continuing 16 to do it again. ILLEGALLY! 17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	13	
16to do it again. ILLEGALLY!17181919And 75% of city residents are still20paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying21for over a decade. But you don't care what is22the strategy I heard about?2324Or is that a secret as well that we	14	That is why there is a lawsuit
17 18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	15	pending. We caught you and you are continuing
18 19 And 75% of city residents are still 20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	16	to do it again. ILLEGALLY!
19And 75% of city residents are still20paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying21for over a decade. But you don't care what is22the strategy I heard about?23Or is that a secret as well that we	17	
20 paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying 21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	18	
21 for over a decade. But you don't care what is 22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	19	And 75% of city residents are still
22 the strategy I heard about? 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we	20	paying for the 25% that are still NOT paying
 23 24 Or is that a secret as well that we 	21	for over a decade. But you don't care what is
24 Or is that a secret as well that we	22	the strategy I heard about?
	23	
25 shouldn't know about?	24	Or is that a secret as well that we
	25	shouldn't know about?

19 1 My neighbors still don't pay for their garbage pickup since 2011. Or longer. 2 3 4 Are the people who pay soon this 5 year going to get \$30,00 off of their bill to\$270 ? 6 - -7 8 Is that mentioned in the budget? We 9 need to know now. So tell us now. 10 11 So here we go again I ask questions 12 with undoubtedly no replies--but the people should know that you sit there and refuse to 13 14 answer my questions because you definitely don't want us to know the answers. 15 16 17 If by chance you answer one question 18 that surely is not sufficient. 19 20 If I were there in person I would 21 stand there and say WELL YOU DIDN'T ANSWER MY 22 QUESTION. But I don't have that opportunity. 23 You get away with what you are doing sad to 24 say.. 25

20 1 How would you feel if you wanted 2 answers and never got them? Not nice is it? 3 WELL?? Start replying! We pay you to do so. 4 5 The third submission is from Dave Dobrzyn as follows: 6 7 8 LAST WEEK I SENT AN E-MAIL ON 9 RECYCLING AND OMITTED PLASTIC BOTTLES FROM CONSIDERATION. THE REVISED SCHEDULE IS AS 10 FOLLOWS. 11 12 13 1 ST WEEK METAL CANS COULD BE SORTED 14 WITH A MAGNETIC DEVICE CUTTING MANUAL COSTS 15 2 ND WEEK PAPER. 16 17 **3 RD WEEK PLASTIC NOTE THROW** 18 19 UNSUITABLE CONTAMINATED INTO TRASH. 20 4 TH WEEK CARDBOARD. 21 22 23 4 MORE WEEKS LEFT OVER COULD BE USED 24 FOR LAWN AS SMALL BONUS PER YEAR. LEAVES AND 25 TWIGS ETC.

	Ι
	21
1	ONCE AGAIN GLASS HAS NO RECYCLABLE
2	VALUE AND CONFOUNDS SORTING USUALLY BREAKS BY
3	COMPACTION AND CAUSES A SAFETY CONCERNS AND
4	WHEN MINGLED MUST BE SORTED AT GREATER COST.
5	STATE LAW MAY NEED CONSIDERATION FOR THIS IDEA.
6	
7	
8	A NEW/OLD CONCERN IS THE CITY IS
9	GOING AHEAD WITH A PLAN TO COLLECT TRASH FEE BY
10	ADDRESS INSTEAD OF OCCUPANCY
11	
12	THIS MAY BECOME A BONANZA FOR
13	REALESTATE INVESTORS AS THE FEE MAY BE LOWERED
14	AND IN FACT RAISED FOR UNOCCUPIED LOTS OFTEN
15	SOLD BY THE CITY FOR ADDED SPACE IF WE CHARGE A
16	FLAT FEE PER ADRESS A FOUR UNIT COULD BE
17	LOWERED TO 300 DOLLARS PER YEAR FROM \$1200. A
18	NON DEVELOPABLE LOT COULD INCREASE TO \$300 PER
19	ANUM MORE. WHAT A DEAL! MY TAX ON THIS LOT HAS
20	INCREASED TO ABOUT \$ 700 PER YEAR AS IT IS AND
21	40 BY 140 FOOT IS NOT DEVELOPABLE COULD BE
22	INCREASED TO \$1050! TRIPLING THE ORIGINAL
23	ASSESMENT OF \$333!
24	
25	A RENTAL HOUSE NEAR ME WENT FOR \$950

	22
1	PER MONTH MAINLY DUE TO SECTION 8 SUBSIDY AND
2	NOT NOW KNOWN HOWEVER THE FORMER TENANTS WERE A
3	NIGHTMARE AND FORTUNATLY THEY MOVED. THE NEW
4	TENANTS ARE NICER FORTUNATELY.
5	
6	I WOULD HOPE AN APPEAL PROSSESS
7	WOULD BE GRANTED FOR THESE SITUATIONS.THIS
8	IDEA WAS CONTRIVED WITH SEVERAL REALATORS
9	INVOLVED AND PARDON ME I DON'T NEED THEIR BILLS
10	FALLING ON MY FINANCES. OUR HOME HAS A WOOD
11	CHIPPER AND PLANT MATERIALS ARE MULCHED FOR
12	GARDEN USE. ALSO A FRIEND TAKES WOOD FOR HIS
13	FIREPLACE USE FOR IN THE COUNTRY IT SEEMS TO
14	ME THAT EVERY TIME THE TORCH IS PASSED TO A NEW
15	GENERATION WE REINVENT GOVERNMENT EVEN THOUGH
16	PAST PRACTICE DID NOT FAIL BUT ONLY TEPID
17	ATTEMPTS WERE MADE AT MAKING THE OLD SYSTEM
18	WORK.I NEVER CHALLENGED THE CURRENT SITUATION
19	ON TRASH.
20	
21	SINCERELY YOURS THE ANTHRACITE HILLBILLY
22	DAVID DOBRZYN
23	
24	The fourth submission is from Marie
25	Schumacher as follows:

-	
	23
1	0 3E does not include the
2	attachment mentioned in the one-pager.
3	0 Last week's Caucus stated Council
4	would receive the next day, the Agenda was made
5	available 11/16 but Third Order did not include
6	the Budget; why?
7	0 Last week's HRG presentation was
8	both bitter and sweet. Sweet because it
9	finally arrived but bitter because it kicks the
10	can down the road yet again as they want to
11	await new regulations, County action, etc. No
12	mention of the areas that are regularly flooded
13	and need attention now.
14	Not discussed was the opportunity
15	to: (1) Address the regulations before they
16	are adopted and (2) to lobby our under worked
17	REpresentatives to ensure the new regulations
18	are funded by the Federal Budget and not dumped
19	on the property owner again.
20	Also, one of my unanswered Capital
21	Budget questions related to proper number of
22	street sweepers is the CIty should select that
23	has a sediment reduction. HRG reports that is
24	a City selection so is the sweeper inventory
25	sufficient?

24 0 1 I would like to know why the Mayor was allowed to sidestep the question of 2 3 how many people furloughed are back to work. 4 "The majority" is not a sufficient answer in my 5 book and I'm sorry chose not to pursue a finite 6 answer. 7 0 There have been some really nasty 8 pave cuts. Could someone please brief us on 9 I once thought an entire street pave cuts. 10 would have to be paved if a utility made a 11 certain number of oave cuts on that street. 12 Now I hear those cuts have to be within a 13 certain time frame. Is this true and, if so, 14 what is that time frame? 15 As I have mentioned over the 0 16 years, the Redevlopment Authority operates on a 17 different (July though June, I believe) Fiscal 18 Year than the City so, if you ever want an 19 Audit on time you must as them to go on a 20 January through December year. Closing, may I report it has been 21 0 a very long time since any questions not 22 23 answered during the Meeting have received 24 answers. 25 (This concludes public comment as

25 1 submitted to Council.) 2 3 MS. REED: That concludes the 4 citizens participation portion. Thank you. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed. On the furloughs, I think we asked tonight for 6 a list of the employees that are still 7 8 furloughed. So that will take care of that 9 question. 10 As for Miss Schumacher's comment 11 about the budget not being in Third Order, the 12 budget is on the agenda tonight. The budget 13 was received Monday afternoon. And then the 14 agenda was posted Monday afternoon. And if 15 anyone from the public would like to look at 16 the budget, just go to Scrantonpa.gov under 17 the Council page. 18 Click on our agenda for today's 19 meeting that was posted yesterday and you could It is in there under Fifth Order. 20 find it. Ιt 21 won't be in Third Order. It will be in Fifth. 22 As for some of the other questions, 23 we'll pose these to the administration. Ι 24 don't know some of the answers off the top of 25 Miss Schumacher's last comment about my head.

-	
	26
1	questions not being answered during the
2	meeting, Mrs. Reed, if you could I know
3	we've sent any questions that have been posed
4	to the administration. If you could ask for an
5	update on when we might receive answers.
6	And I did on some of the DPW
7	items, I did send those answers to Miss
8	Schumacher on the street sweeper and other
9	things that she posed as well related to the
10	capital budget. Anyone else on the question?
11	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
12	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
13	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
14	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
15	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved.
18	MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
19	MOTIONS.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed.
21	Councilman Schuster, do you have any motions or
22	comments today?
23	MR. SCHUSTER: No, nothing at this
24	time. I might have a motion on the question
25	with one of the grants later on but nothing at

1 this time. 2 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you. 3 And, Councilman McAndrew, do you have any 4 motions or comments? 5 MR. MCANDREW: A couple. So, you know, I shared some of my concerns about the 6 7 budget in caucus. We served them and expressed 8 them again at the budget hearing on Thursday. 9 I -- also in caucus I reached out to Attorney 10 Hayes two months ago, maybe three, a concerned 11 citizen who came to me and had questions about 12 hiring process or a policy whereas, okay, is 13 there -- does having a felony disclosed on an 14 application -- if so, if not, okay, what 15 happens if one surfaces? 16 So I requested from Solicitor Hayes 17 to reach out to our HR Director and labor 18 attorney twice and I haven't -- we haven't 19 gotten anything back from them. Did you find 20 anything yet, Solicitor Hayes? You're on mute, 21 sorry. 22 ATTY. HAYES: Mark, I had forwarded 23 to you the memo that they provided in response 24 So if I didn't send it to you earlier to that. 25 that was my bad. But they did respond to me on

-	
	28
1	October 20th, so which outlines for the
2	various departments what the policy is and the
3	applicable law.
4	MR. MCANDREW: Thanks for the
5	update. I'll take a look at it and thanks
6	again. That's all I have.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Councilman
8	McAndrew. Dr. Rothchild, any motions or
9	comments?
10	DR. ROTHCHILD: No, not at this
11	time. Thank you.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you.
13	And, Councilman Donahue, any motions or
14	comments?
15	MR. DONAHUE: I'm just going to
16	reserve my comments for some agenda items.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you. I
19	just have a few. The first thing I'd like to
20	as I mentioned in the caucus tonight, I'd like
21	to make a motion that Council send a letter to
22	the Mayor and Business Administrator asking for
23	a complete report on the pool at Nay Aug Park
24	when the pool if the pool will be ready for
25	opening in Summer of 2021 and why the funding

	29
1	has not been released to the Recreation Board
2	to allow for the repair or replacement of the
3	liner at Nay Aug pool.
4	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion
6	made and a second. On the question? On the
7	question, just to reiterate, I was taking a
8	look at the minutes from the Recreation
9	Authority Board that will be in Third Order
10	next week. This meeting was held Thursday,
11	November 5th.
12	And at the end of the meeting
13	minutes, one of the members asked the solicitor
14	of the board if the City answered the Board's
15	request regarding filling in the big pool. The
16	meeting he replied that the meeting was
17	cancelled. No date has been set to
18	rescheduled.
19	And then the members of the board
20	expressed concerns with money that was
21	allocated in this budget that was not used to
22	repair the pool wants to know if the money is
23	still there if it was transferred elsewhere and
24	they are very concerned that the pool will not
25	be repaired and the children will not have a

1	pool in 2021.
2	So, you know, based on that and
3	being up there this summer and looking at all
4	the work that has to be done, I am concerned.
5	I really think we need a full report from the
6	Mayor and the administration on what's going on
7	and if that pool is going to be ready. Anyone
8	else on the question?
9	MR. MCANDREW: Yes, on the question,
10	so I remember that Mayor Evans put money in the
11	budget to take care of this. And it terrifies
12	me knowing that the pool, the deeper pool with
13	the diving board is not filled in yet. That to
14	me is a huge liability, huge liability. That
15	alone scares me. So, of course, I'd like an
16	update on both of them questions.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. All right.
18	There's been a motion, a second, on the
19	question. All those in favor of the motion
20	signify by saying aye.
21	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
22	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
23	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
24	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
25	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The

-	
	31
1	ayes have it and so moved and the motions
2	passes.
3	I'd also like to make a motion to
4	invite the Pennsylvania Economy League
5	representatives who are the City's Act 47
6	recovery coordinator to our Tuesday, November
7	24th caucus to discuss and take questions about
8	the 2021 budget. Is there a second?
9	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion
11	and a second. On the question? On the
12	question, as has been stated, one of the things
13	I've always insisted on is that and they've
14	always agreed and have come and answered
15	questions and usually a letter accompanies the
16	budget because I believe PEL has to certify the
17	budget.
18	But I think it's important to have a
19	recovery coordinator at a caucus with Council
20	to answer questions about the budget, about
21	their thoughts on the budget and what the
22	financial situation of the City looks like
23	moving forward. So I look forward to having
24	those discussions. Anyone else on the
25	question?

	32
1	MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, on the
2	question, I think as you say, Mr. Gaughan, I
3	think it's a good idea to get their thoughts on
4	this budget and more specifically when we're
5	looking at the borrowing from that trust fund,
6	get what they had stated on that fund and get
7	the parameters (inaudible) from that fund.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: I agree. Thank you.
9	Anyone else? All those in favor signify oh,
10	sorry, Mark, go ahead.
11	MR. MCANDREW: I totally agree
12	having their presence and input. The City is
13	in recovery for a reason. There's a recovery
14	team here for a reason. I value their, you
15	know, their input and I'd like to hear it.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you. All
17	those in favor of the motion signify by saying
18	aye.
19	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
20	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
21	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
22	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
24	ayes have it and the motion passes.
25	The other thing I have is last

33 1 Thursday the Business Administrator said that there was a memo that Council has was supposed 2 3 to receive on the sale of receivables. It's a line item in this year's budget -- a revenue 4 5 line item in this year's budget. They mentioned that they were going 6 to release a memo. So, Lori, could you please 7 request a copy of that memo or find out when 8 9 they are going to release that? I have 10 additional concerns there, but I'll mention 11 them in Fifth Order when we talk about the 12 That's all I have. budget. 13 MR. SCHUSTER: President Gaughan, 14 was there going to be a motion to send 15 correspondence to the Mayor and the 16 administration on the job descriptions? 17 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 18 ATTY. HAYES: I already did that. 19 And I asked that they be responded to by the end of the week by 3:30 that they submit them 20 21 to Lori. And the Mayor already responded that 22 they are working on them right now. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Very good. 24 ATTY. HAYES: I don't know if you 25 still want to do a motion or not.

r	
	34
1	MR. GAUGHAN: No. I think that's
2	good. That works. Okay. Lori?
3	MS. REED: Thank you. 5-B. FOR
4	INTRODUCTION - AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF
5	THE COUNCIL NO. 95, 2015, AN ORDINANCE,
6	ENTITLED "AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 79
7	OF 2015, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE
8	COUNCIL NO. 145 OF 2007 ENTITLED 'AN ORDINANCE
9	RENAMING THE EMERGENCY AND MUNICIPAL SERVICES
10	TAX ("EMST") TO LOCAL SERVICE TAX ("LST")' AND
11	BY IMPOSING A WITHHOLDING OF \$52.00 FOR THE
12	CALENDAR YEAR 2015 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN
13	FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY
14	INCREASING THE LOCAL SERVICES TAX WITHHOLDING
15	FROM \$52.00 TO \$156.00 FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR
16	2015, AND PROVIDING FOR AN EXEMPTION FROM
17	TAXATION FOR ANY PERSON WHOSE TOTAL EARNED
18	INCOME AND NET PROFITS FROM ALL SOURCES
19	WITHIN THE MUNICIPALITY IS LESS THAN \$15,600.00
20	FOR THE CALENDAR YEAR 2015 UNDER AND PURSUANT
21	TO THE LOCAL TAX ENABLING ACT, ACT 511 OF 1965,
22	P.L. 1257, 53 P.S. § 6924,101 ET. SEQ.
23	AND THE MUNICIPALITIES RECOVERY ACT, Act 47 OF
24	1987, P.L. 246,53 P.S. § 11701.101 ET. SEQ. AND
25	THEIR RESPECTIVE AMENDMENTS" EFFECTIVE

35 1 RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY 1, 2020. THIS TAX ENABLES THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO CONTINUE TO 2 LEVY THE LOCAL SERVICES TAX AT THE FISCAL YEAR 3 2019 RATE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2020 FOR A TOTAL 4 MAXIMUM LOCAL SERVICES RATE OF ONE HUNDRED 5 FIFTY-SIX (\$156.00) DOLLARS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 6 2020 EFFECTIVE RETROACTIVE TO JANUARY 1, 2020. 7 8 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed. 9 At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 10 5-B be introduced into its proper committee. 11 MR. MCANDREW: So moved. 12 DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? 14 On the question, one MR. DONAHUE: 15 thing I would like to bring up at Thursday 16 night's meeting is getting the petition in for 17 the tripling of the LST for next year early 18 enough. 19 This year it wasn't filed until the 20 end of February and then with everything with 21 the pandemic it was pushed off. You know, 22 normally we should be passing this at the 23 beginning of the year, not in November. 24 But the petition going forward 25 should be filed in November so then at least a

F	
	36
1	date is set for, you know, hopefully by
2	midJanuary then for the court approval.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: I agree. Thank you.
4	Anyone else on the question? All those in
5	favor of introduction signify by saying aye.
6	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
7	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
8	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
9	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
11	ayes have it and so moved.
12	MS. REED: 5-C. FOR INTRODUCTION -
13	AN ORDINANCE - APPROPRIATING FUNDS FOR THE
14	EXPENSES OF THE CITY GOVERNMENT FOR THE PERIOD
15	COMMENCING ON THE FIRST DAY OF JANUARY, 2021 TO
16	AND INCLUDING DECEMBER 31, 2021 BY THE ADOPTION
17	OF THE GENERAL CITY OPERATING BUDGET FOR
18	THE YEAR 2021.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mrs. Reed.
20	At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item
21	5-C be introduced into its proper committee.
22	DR. ROTHCHILD: So moved.
23	MR. SCHUSTER: Second.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Who
25	wants to go first?

	n
	37
1	MR. SCHUSTER: I guess on the
2	question, do all new positions have salary
3	amounts associated with them and do all line
4	items have dollar amounts associated with them
5	too?
6	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. Yes, they have
7	to except for the ones where it says not funded
8	as I mentioned in the caucus. I think we
9	should take those out but yep.
10	MR. SCHUSTER: Okay.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Anyone else on the
12	question? All right. I have a few things I'd
13	like to say. So I'll start with the good
14	before I go into it's always good to start
15	with the good things first.
16	So the first thing I'd like to say
17	is I've been through several budgets now since
18	I've been on Council. And I have to give
19	credit to Mayor Cognetti and her administration
20	in this respect that they are not afraid to
21	defend their budget.
22	I have sat through many budgets
23	where we couldn't get the Mayor to come in
24	fact, I don't believe we ever had the previous
25	Mayor before caucus. And we requested numerous

1times. So we already had the Mayor and the Business Administrator before us on Thursd 33They are coming again this Thursday.4In speaking with the Mayor, the more than willing to answer any and all questions and exhaust all of our concerns before final passage. So I think any plan budget needs to be scrutinized.9That's our job as Council peopl to look at this thing line item by line it scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	
2 Business Administrator before us on Thursd 3 They are coming again this Thursday. 4 In speaking with the Mayor, the 5 more than willing to answer any and all 6 questions and exhaust all of our concerns 7 before final passage. So I think any plan 8 budget needs to be scrutinized. 9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	38
3 They are coming again this Thursday. 4 In speaking with the Mayor, the 5 more than willing to answer any and all 6 questions and exhaust all of our concerns 7 before final passage. So I think any plan 8 budget needs to be scrutinized. 9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	е
4In speaking with the Mayor, the5more than willing to answer any and all6questions and exhaust all of our concerns7before final passage. So I think any plan8budget needs to be scrutinized.9That's our job as Council peopl10to look at this thing line item by line it11scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	ay.
5 more than willing to answer any and all 6 questions and exhaust all of our concerns 7 before final passage. So I think any plan 8 budget needs to be scrutinized. 9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	
6 questions and exhaust all of our concerns 7 before final passage. So I think any plan 8 budget needs to be scrutinized. 9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	y're
 7 before final passage. So I think any plan 8 budget needs to be scrutinized. 9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a 	
8 budget needs to be scrutinized. 9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	
9 That's our job as Council peopl 10 to look at this thing line item by line it 11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	, any
10to look at this thing line item by line it11scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	
11 scrutinize it, put it through the ringer a	e is
	em,
	nd I
12 just personally appreciate the Mayor and t	he
13 Business Administrator coming before us	
14 answering questions and defending their pl	an
15 even if we have concerns about it or we do	n't
16 agree with.	
17 As I said, I haven't always bee	n a
18 part of a process that has been like that.	So
19 this is new to me, and I think it's the be	st
20 way to do it. So I do appreciate that. A	nd I
21 think it's much more transparent.	
22 As I mentioned earlier, I recei	ved
23 the budget yesterday afternoon as all of	
24 Council did. So it's a rather large docum	ent.
25 So I will need time to continue to look th	rough

1	it.
2	But my first kind of initial my
3	initial review and my first blush reaction I
4	have a few concerns. The first would be that
5	the numbers that we received Thursday when the
6	Mayor and the Business Administrator came to
7	our work session compared to the numbers we
8	received yesterday afternoon varied and in some
9	cases significantly.
10	So my first question that I'd like
11	to know is what changed between Thursday and
12	Monday? The projections changed in some
13	instances drastically within a few days. For
14	example, what we were given Thursday the
15	delinquent real estate tax was at revenue
16	was at \$600,000.
17	Tuesday the budget we have in front
18	of us, the final document it's at 2.3 million.
19	So for those numbers to change so
20	significantly, you know, what happened between
21	in those few days and maybe there is a good
22	explanation and hopefully we'll find out
23	Thursday.
24	The landfill and refuse fees,
25	Thursday it was 8 million 50 thousand dollars.

1 Tuesday it's 7 million 800 thousand dollars. And then obviously the borrowing -- the 2 interfund transfers we had a 5 million dollar 3 4 deficit Thursday and then we're down to a 4.4 5 million dollar deficit on Tuesday. My second concern is the plan that 6 7 was presented to us Thursday and the plan that 8 is presented to us again today, the budget is 9 using roughly 4.4 million dollars from excess 10 workers' compensation reserves. These were 11 originally supposed to be used for OPEB Trust 12 Fund. OPEB stands for Other Post Employment Benefits. 13 14 This was supposed to assist with future allocations for retiree benefits. 15 The 16 OPEB Trust Fund allocation was based on a major 17 recommendation from the City's auditor a few 18 years ago. The fund would be established for 19 other post employment benefits which could 20 include things like medicare reimbursements. 21 The Government Finance Officer's 22 Association recommends as a best practice that 23 governments prefund their obligations for post 24 employment benefits other than pensions once 25 it's determined that the employer has incurred

	41
1	a substantial long-term liability which, of
2	course, is the case with the City of Scranton.
3	I think our long-term liability is
4	over 200 million dollars at this point. If
5	funded properly so if funded with what was
6	originally what the original plan was, the
7	City may make long-term investments to cover
8	these obligations through a separate trust fund
9	which should theoretically over time result in
10	a lower total cost for providing post
11	employment benefits and other obviously
12	other examples of post employment benefits
13	would be healthcare or insurance premiums.
14	During the weekend it was reported
15	in the Scranton Times that the plan had changed
16	after a conversation that the administration
17	had with PFM who is the City's financial
18	advisor. And at this point, the Mayor and the
19	Business Administrator were considering
20	utilizing cash collateral from sewer sale
21	proceeds or according to the narrative original
22	financing which would require restructuring.
23	According to the budget document
24	that we received, this option would be explored
25	at any point during the year. And if the funds

42 1 were either not necessary or less than the 4.4 million dollar revenue gap, the refinancing can 2 3 be tailored to the needed amount. There's a few issues that I have 4 5 with this on its face. The budget that is presented to us today includes multiple options 6 7 which may address the budget shortfall next 8 vear. I have a hard time approving a budget 9 with so many options in it. 10 I'm interested in -- more interested in how exactly we're going to do this. 11 Either 12 you're going to do it or you're not going to do 13 it. And we need as a Council I think much more 14 detail about how the administration plans on 15 closing the budget shortfall next year. 16 I am particularly concerned about 17 using workers' compensation proceeds that were 18 designated to reduce costs and liabilities 19 going forward. 20 Taking these dollars to balance the 21 budget next year at the expense of savings 22 later on is risky in my opinion. One of the 23 concerns I have is what that -- what kind of 24 message that would send to the financial 25 community because I remember a few years ago

	43
1	that the City creating this OPEB Trust Fund,
2	the negotiations that went on with Labor and
3	Industry was looked favorably was looked
4	upon favorably by the financial community.
5	And historically, you know, this is
6	what the City of Scranton does. We take
7	something that's intended for another fund or
8	to invest and we use it as a one-time revenue
9	source. So I think before we do that, we need
10	to exhaust every other avenue and option.
11	And we should really research this
12	and make sure it's the right thing to do
13	because that money was not intended for this
14	use. Before I would even consider approving
15	this budget, I would need much more information
16	on the cash collateral restructuring. There
17	are way too many what ifs in this narrative.
18	And it seems like to me any way that
19	this was put together at the last minute which
20	is concerning. We don't have a figure on how
21	much money a restructuring or refinancing debt
22	would bring in. And if this is an actual
23	serious option that they are going to consider,
24	then we need at least a ballpark of where those
25	figures might be before we pass a final budget.

The other concern that I have is I 1 think my other Council colleagues brought this 2 3 Earlier in the week the budget shortfall up. was a million and a half dollars. And then 4 5 that changed drastically to 5 million dollars. Now we're down to 4.6 million dollars. 6 7 So to me, the fact that the City 8 administration did not know that they'd be 9 nearly 4 and a half million dollars in the hole 10 next year as little as a week ago is concerning 11 And the fact that, you know, the to me. 12 numbers are changing and the plan has changed 13 within the last few days. 14 And I think we need to clarify that 15 I'd also like to know we did not on Thursday. 16 get a clear answer on whether there is going to 17 be projected deficit for the end of 2020. Α 18 major, major concern of mine is the fact that 19 the administration did not follow through with the sale of refuse receivables. 20 21 This was a unique method of 22 financing that I believe could have brought in 23 at least a million and a half dollars. That's 24 what was budgeted in 2020. Council received a 25 draft of a proposal with a company called MRS

1that was put together with the previous2administration. And I'm not sure if there had3to be a request for proposals.4I think because of the method of5financing they probably could have negotiated6with MRS. I'm not sure about that. But I7remember in 2015 this same type of financing8was done. And I believe that they just9negotiated with this specific company.10So I think we need to look into11that. Again, that could have if this was12acted upon earlier because I know Councilman13Donahue and I had brought this up several14times, I think we might have been able to make15headway there. And that could have been16it's definitely a million and a half dollars17that we could have used this year.	.5
2administration. And I'm not sure if there had to be a request for proposals.4I think because of the method of financing they probably could have negotiated with MRS. I'm not sure about that. But I remember in 2015 this same type of financing was done. And I believe that they just negotiated with this specific company.10So I think we need to look into that. Again, that could have if this was acted upon earlier because I know Councilman Donahue and I had brought this up several times, I think we might have been able to make headway there. And that could have been it's definitely a million and a half dollars that we could have used this year.	
3to be a request for proposals.4I think because of the method of5financing they probably could have negotiated6with MRS. I'm not sure about that. But I7remember in 2015 this same type of financing8was done. And I believe that they just9negotiated with this specific company.10So I think we need to look into11that. Again, that could have if this was12acted upon earlier because I know Councilman13Donahue and I had brought this up several14times, I think we might have been able to make15headway there. And that could have been16it's definitely a million and a half dollars17that we could have used this year.	
4I think because of the method of5financing they probably could have negotiated6with MRS. I'm not sure about that. But I7remember in 2015 this same type of financing8was done. And I believe that they just9negotiated with this specific company.10So I think we need to look into11that. Again, that could have if this was12acted upon earlier because I know Councilman13Donahue and I had brought this up several14times, I think we might have been able to make15headway there. And that could have been16it's definitely a million and a half dollars17that we could have used this year.	
 financing they probably could have negotiated with MRS. I'm not sure about that. But I remember in 2015 this same type of financing was done. And I believe that they just negotiated with this specific company. So I think we need to look into that. Again, that could have if this was acted upon earlier because I know Councilman Donahue and I had brought this up several times, I think we might have been able to make headway there. And that could have been it's definitely a million and a half dollars that we could have used this year. 	
6 with MRS. I'm not sure about that. But I 7 remember in 2015 this same type of financing 8 was done. And I believe that they just 9 negotiated with this specific company. 10 So I think we need to look into 11 that. Again, that could have if this was 12 acted upon earlier because I know Councilman 13 Donahue and I had brought this up several 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year.	
7 remember in 2015 this same type of financing 8 was done. And I believe that they just 9 negotiated with this specific company. 10 So I think we need to look into 11 that. Again, that could have if this was 12 acted upon earlier because I know Councilman 13 Donahue and I had brought this up several 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year.	
 8 was done. And I believe that they just negotiated with this specific company. 10 So I think we need to look into 11 that. Again, that could have if this was 12 acted upon earlier because I know Councilman 13 Donahue and I had brought this up several 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year. 	
 9 negotiated with this specific company. 10 So I think we need to look into 11 that. Again, that could have if this was 12 acted upon earlier because I know Councilman 13 Donahue and I had brought this up several 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year. 	
10 So I think we need to look into 11 that. Again, that could have if this was 12 acted upon earlier because I know Councilman 13 Donahue and I had brought this up several 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year.	
11 that. Again, that could have if this was acted upon earlier because I know Councilman Donahue and I had brought this up several times, I think we might have been able to make headway there. And that could have been it's definitely a million and a half dollars that we could have used this year.	
12 acted upon earlier because I know Councilman 13 Donahue and I had brought this up several 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year.	
13Donahue and I had brought this up several14times, I think we might have been able to make15headway there. And that could have been16it's definitely a million and a half dollars17that we could have used this year.	
 14 times, I think we might have been able to make 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year. 	
 15 headway there. And that could have been 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year. 	
 16 it's definitely a million and a half dollars 17 that we could have used this year. 	
17 that we could have used this year.	
10 Wa wana alaa tald thana waa a mama	
18 We were also told there was a memo	
19 that was supposed to be issued on this topic.	
20 So I'd like to see that before we pass the	
21 budget.	
22 The other thing that was in the 2020	
23 budget that was not acted upon that I think the	;
24 administration should consider as a means of	
25 bringing in additional revenue next year is an	

46 1 amnesty program. This was something that was talked about in 2020. It was baked into the 2 3 revenue numbers in the 2020 budget. And I think it's a way other cities 4 5 do it across the country, a one time -- whether it's a refuse amnesty, real estate -- I don't 6 know if that's possible but a one-time program 7 8 where if you have outstanding refuse fees or 9 real estate taxes or whatever, you have a one 10 time opportunity to come in and pay and get on 11 a payment plan. 12 And other cities have -- you've seen 13 a huge influx of revenue into their coffers. 14 So I think we should really, really look at 15 that as a way to raise revenue for next year. 16 As I mentioned in the caucus, my 17 other concern is the conversion from the 18 Business Privilege and Mercantile Tax to the 19 Payroll Preparation Tax. It is stated in the 20 narrative that that is being pushed off or 21 won't be considered until 2022. 22 To me, this could be the potential 23 of a major missed opportunity. This is 24 something that the people of the City voted 25 overwhelmingly for. I think we studied it.

1 We analyzed it. And it's really time to act 2 it because we're going to lose our opportuni 3 if we wait too long. 4 I need to see job descriptions. 5 With 12 new positions, I think these are the 6 most positions most newly created position 7 that I've seen since I've been on Council. 8 With those 12 positions obviously comes a he 9 cost. 10 I think total with the raises and 11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want 14 be able to rationalize those type of increas	
 it because we're going to lose our opportuni if we wait too long. I need to see job descriptions. With 12 new positions, I think these are the most positions most newly created positio that I've seen since I've been on Council. With those 12 positions obviously comes a he cost. I think total with the raises and the new positions it's over half a million dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want 	47
 3 if we wait too long. 4 I need to see job descriptions. 5 With 12 new positions, I think these are the 6 most positions most newly created position 7 that I've seen since I've been on Council. 8 With those 12 positions obviously comes a he 9 cost. 10 I think total with the raises and 11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want 	on
4I need to see job descriptions.5With 12 new positions, I think these are the6most positions most newly created position7that I've seen since I've been on Council.8With those 12 positions obviously comes a he9cost.10I think total with the raises and11the new positions it's over half a million12dollars. So when you're looking at a potent134 million dollar deficit next year, you want	ty
5 With 12 new positions, I think these are the 6 most positions most newly created positio 7 that I've seen since I've been on Council. 8 With those 12 positions obviously comes a he 9 cost. 10 I think total with the raises and 11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want	
6 most positions most newly created positio 7 that I've seen since I've been on Council. 8 With those 12 positions obviously comes a he 9 cost. 10 I think total with the raises and 11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want	
<pre>7 that I've seen since I've been on Council. 8 With those 12 positions obviously comes a he 9 cost. 10 I think total with the raises and 11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want</pre>	
 8 With those 12 positions obviously comes a he cost. 10 I think total with the raises and the new positions it's over half a million dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want 	ns
9 cost. 10 I think total with the raises and 11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want	
10I think total with the raises and11the new positions it's over half a million12dollars. So when you're looking at a potent134 million dollar deficit next year, you want	fty
11 the new positions it's over half a million 12 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want	
 dollars. So when you're looking at a potent 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want 	
13 4 million dollar deficit next year, you want	
	ial
14 be able to rationalize those type of increas	to
	es.
15 I know there was a discussion in the narrati	ve.
16 I think we need more discussion on Thursday.	
17 And we need job descriptions as well.	
18 One of the things that stuck out	to
19 me was the Parks and Recreation Department a	S
20 something that needs further study or furthe	r
21 discussion. On its face I just don't	
22 understand it and I don't agree with it.	
23 They're adding another director o	ſ
24 another manager in this department. You're	
25 going to have two managers in the Parks and	

	48
1	Recreation Department. And the way that I'm
2	reading it and I could be wrong and we need
3	to clarify this Thursday is that the
4	groundskeepers, the workers in the Parks and
5	Recreation Department will be transferred under
6	the management of the DPW Director or the DPW.
7	So like who are these who are the
8	new people in here? Or who are the manager and
9	the director going to supervise? On its face,
10	I think you're creating more bureaucracy. So
11	that is something I'd like to discuss on
12	Thursday.
13	Also, one of the things I mentioned
14	in the caucus that I think we really need to
15	talk about is although it might be minor
16	renaming the department, moving the employees,
17	does this marry up with the Collective
18	Bargaining Agreement with the DPW?
19	I know we when we voted on the CBA
20	with the DPW three years ago or two years ago,
21	there was a line in there that specifically
22	stated that the department is Parks and
23	Recreation. Now we're adding a different name,
24	moving employees. So we have to make sure that
25	that marries up.

	49
1	Some of the other items that stuck
2	out to me is we don't have the 2019 audit,
3	which I've said this before it's unacceptable.
4	Last year we waited and waited and waited for
5	the audit. We got every excuse under the book.
6	And again, I know, you know, we had the
7	pandemic at the beginning of the year but are
8	all set up with technology.
9	We knew what needed to be done. I
10	sat in on meetings with the auditor and the
11	Business Administrator's office and yet we're
12	probably not going to get this thing until
13	December, maybe not until next year. In a City
14	of our size, that is just unacceptable.
15	And I really did think that all of
16	the issues were going to be solved. And
17	apparently they weren't. So again, it's hard
18	to look at a budget when you don't have the
19	2019 audit. It would be very helpful.
20	The other thing I think we need to
21	talk about on Thursday is the midyear
22	adjustment or update. And I know Solicitor
23	Hayes has said that this probably we need
24	more clarification on exactly what that is
25	because under the Home Rule Charter you

	50
1	can't I don't think you can just you
2	can't change the budget in the middle of the
3	year.
4	The new positions that are not
5	funded as I mentioned in the caucus, I don't
6	disagree with them if the Mayor wants to
7	include that in the narrative to say that these
8	may be positions that are funded in future
9	years, that's fine. But putting them as a line
10	item in the budget even though there is no
11	funding with it, that doesn't make sense to me.
12	We need to take those out. If it's
13	in the budget and it's new, it should be
14	funded. You know, we get the point where it's
15	in the narrative. You don't have to put it in
16	the line item.
17	The other thing that stuck out to me
18	was pilot letters, the where we get a
19	contribution from our non-profits. Those
20	letters apparently just went out within the
21	last two weeks. I wonder when we'll get those
22	or if those conversations have occurred and
23	when we'll know how much of or if there is
24	going to be an increase on what the non-profits
25	contribute because we're going to be passing

1the budget in December.2It would seem to me that those3letters should have went out earlier so that4we'd have a better sense when we're looking at5revenue that's coming in.6On the topic of overtime, overtime7numbers in this budget are similar to last8year. We've gone over this year. And I still9have not gotten a good explanation as to why we10are over in the fire department and the police11department.12Department.13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into		
2It would seem to me that those3letters should have went out earlier so that4we'd have a better sense when we're looking at5revenue that's coming in.6On the topic of overtime, overtime7numbers in this budget are similar to last8year. We've gone over this year. And I still9have not gotten a good explanation as to why we10are over in the fire department and the police11department and why we have overtime in the Law12Department.13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending		51
3letters should have went out earlier so that we'd have a better sense when we're looking at revenue that's coming in.6On the topic of overtime, overtime numbers in this budget are similar to last year. We've gone over this year. And I still have not gotten a good explanation as to why we are over in the fire department and the police department and why we have overtime in the Law Department.13I think we need that explanation on Thursday. And also for this year, we spent overtime in the Law Department when there was no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like if to actually, Kevin, if you could look into if that is even legal for the administration to do that when the Council and the previous administration clearly did not put any funding in for overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	1	the budget in December.
4we'd have a better sense when we're looking at revenue that's coming in.6On the topic of overtime, overtime numbers in this budget are similar to last year. We've gone over this year. And I still have not gotten a good explanation as to why we are over in the fire department and the police department and why we have overtime in the Law Department.13I think we need that explanation on Thursday. And also for this year, we spent overtime in the Law Department when there was no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like to actually, Kevin, if you could look into if that is even legal for the administration to do that when the Council and the previous administration clearly did not put any funding in for overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	2	It would seem to me that those
5revenue that's coming in.6On the topic of overtime, overtime7numbers in this budget are similar to last8year. We've gone over this year. And I still9have not gotten a good explanation as to why we10are over in the fire department and the police11department and why we have overtime in the Law12Department.13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	3	letters should have went out earlier so that
6 On the topic of overtime, overtime 7 numbers in this budget are similar to last 8 year. We've gone over this year. And I still 9 have not gotten a good explanation as to why we 10 are over in the fire department and the police 11 department and why we have overtime in the Law 12 Department. 13 I think we need that explanation on 14 Thursday. And also for this year, we spent 15 overtime in the Law Department when there was 16 no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like 17 to actually, Kevin, if you could look into 18 if that is even legal for the administration to 19 do that when the Council and the previous 20 administration clearly did not put any funding 21 in for overtime and yet somehow some employee 22 got overtime in the Law Department. 23 Interns, interns are budgeted at 24 \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	4	we'd have a better sense when we're looking at
numbers in this budget are similar to last year. We've gone over this year. And I still have not gotten a good explanation as to why we are over in the fire department and the police department and why we have overtime in the Law Department. I think we need that explanation on Thursday. And also for this year, we spent overtime in the Law Department when there was no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like to actually, Kevin, if you could look into if that is even legal for the administration to do that when the Council and the previous administration clearly did not put any funding in for overtime and yet somehow some employee got overtime in the Law Department. Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	5	revenue that's coming in.
 8 year. We've gone over this year. And I still 9 have not gotten a good explanation as to why we 10 are over in the fire department and the police 11 department and why we have overtime in the Law 12 Department. 13 I think we need that explanation on 14 Thursday. And also for this year, we spent 15 overtime in the Law Department when there was 16 no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like 17 to actually, Kevin, if you could look into 18 if that is even legal for the administration to 19 do that when the Council and the previous 20 administration clearly did not put any funding 21 in for overtime in the Law Department. 23 Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	6	On the topic of overtime, overtime
 have not gotten a good explanation as to why we are over in the fire department and the police department and why we have overtime in the Law Department. I think we need that explanation on Thursday. And also for this year, we spent overtime in the Law Department when there was no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like to actually, Kevin, if you could look into if that is even legal for the administration to do that when the Council and the previous administration clearly did not put any funding in for overtime and yet somehow some employee got overtime in the Law Department. Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	7	numbers in this budget are similar to last
10are over in the fire department and the police11department and why we have overtime in the Law12Department.13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	8	year. We've gone over this year. And I still
11department and why we have overtime in the Law12Department.13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	9	have not gotten a good explanation as to why we
12Department.13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	10	are over in the fire department and the police
13I think we need that explanation on14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	11	department and why we have overtime in the Law
14Thursday. And also for this year, we spent15overtime in the Law Department when there was16no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	12	Department.
 overtime in the Law Department when there was no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like to actually, Kevin, if you could look into if that is even legal for the administration to do that when the Council and the previous administration clearly did not put any funding in for overtime and yet somehow some employee got overtime in the Law Department. Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	13	I think we need that explanation on
 no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like to actually, Kevin, if you could look into if that is even legal for the administration to do that when the Council and the previous administration clearly did not put any funding in for overtime and yet somehow some employee got overtime in the Law Department. Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	14	Thursday. And also for this year, we spent
17to actually, Kevin, if you could look into18if that is even legal for the administration to19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	15	overtime in the Law Department when there was
18 if that is even legal for the administration to 19 do that when the Council and the previous 20 administration clearly did not put any funding 21 in for overtime and yet somehow some employee 22 got overtime in the Law Department. 23 Interns, interns are budgeted at 24 \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	16	no overtime budgeted. I don't I would like
19do that when the Council and the previous20administration clearly did not put any funding21in for overtime and yet somehow some employee22got overtime in the Law Department.23Interns, interns are budgeted at24\$120,000 for next year. I question spending	17	to actually, Kevin, if you could look into
20 administration clearly did not put any funding 21 in for overtime and yet somehow some employee 22 got overtime in the Law Department. 23 Interns, interns are budgeted at 24 \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	18	if that is even legal for the administration to
 in for overtime and yet somehow some employee got overtime in the Law Department. Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	19	do that when the Council and the previous
 22 got overtime in the Law Department. 23 Interns, interns are budgeted at 24 \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	20	administration clearly did not put any funding
 Interns, interns are budgeted at \$120,000 for next year. I question spending 	21	in for overtime and yet somehow some employee
24 \$120,000 for next year. I question spending	22	got overtime in the Law Department.
	23	Interns, interns are budgeted at
25 that much money on interns. I think the	24	\$120,000 for next year. I question spending
	25	that much money on interns. I think the

-	
	52
1	internship program is a good thing but not at
2	the expense of \$120,000. So I think we need to
3	talk about that on Thursday. I would like just
4	looking at it preliminary, I'd like to take
5	that money and use it to fund ECTV.
6	I've had conversations with the
7	Mayor and the Business Administrator about
8	this. They only put in \$20,000. That's going
9	to leave them according to my conversations
10	with them unable to continue broadcasting. So,
11	I mean, it's not going to work. So we need to
12	fund that.
13	There is a line item for a grant
14	match. And there's money in the line item as
15	an expenditure for a grant match. And I would
16	like a breakdown of that figure. And I'm going
17	put this all in a document and send it to the
18	administration.
19	Apparently there is no increase for
20	the Clerical Union in the budget. So I'm
21	assuming that they're going to ask for a pay
22	freeze. But I haven't seen any agreement to
23	that effect and do we know that for sure. And
24	if not, you know, I just to me, I don't know
25	how you can put that in there without knowing

	53
1	it for sure. Maybe they do. I don't know.
2	But we need further clarification on that.
3	I mentioned in the caucus too about
4	the Keystone Landfill grant, a hundred thousand
5	dollars. I don't believe that that's actual
6	it's not actual revenue unless something has
7	changed. That was equipment that was to be
8	donated through the landfill. So I don't know
9	why that's in there. We're probably going to
10	have to take that out.
11	And we need to talk about the Ethics
12	Board funding was cut. I think they're down to
13	10 or \$20,000. And there is some other minor
14	items in here. Those are my initial concerns
15	that I have. So again, I appreciate the Mayor
16	and the Business Administrator coming on
17	Thursday.
18	I think we really need answers to
19	these outstanding questions sooner than later,
20	especially if I had to pin this down to, you
21	know, the major items, it really would be the
22	budget shortfall next year. And, you know, we
23	need more clarification on all of these
24	different options.
25	I don't think you pass a budget with

1 four different options. We have to get a way, way clearer picture on that before I'd be 2 3 comfortable. So those are my comments. I'm 4 sure I'll have more next week and look forward 5 to Thursday. Anyone else on the question? MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, Mr. Gaughan, I 6 7 think we covered a lot of that in caucus so I 8 didn't get my list of concerns. But I think 9 you did a very good job. I agree 100 percent 10 on everything that you put out there. One of the things I would like to 11 12 touch on is, I mean, in the pandemic what we're 13 seeing large shortfalls in revenue, things that 14 we can't control. And I think in other areas 15 of business, these are areas that they do 16 control for trainings, overtimes, new 17 positions, and salary increases. 18 These are definitely things that 19 could be controlled and, I mean, when we're 20 using the pandemic as justification for other 21 things, I think with those revenue shortfalls 22 it would be good justification for these items 23 here. 24 Especially with looking at some of 25 the furloughs that were done in the beginning

	55
1	and then going into these CBAs as you
2	expressed, the Clerical Union, you know, that's
3	being negotiated right now.
4	And, I mean, if that's the case, I
5	don't understand how justification could be
6	given for salary increases and new positions
7	when that's what's occurring right now and
8	going into those CBAs into next June.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Thank you.
10	Anyone else on the question? Okay. All those
11	in favor of introduction signify by saying aye.
12	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
13	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
14	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
15	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
17	ayes have it and so moved.
18	MS. REED: 5-D. FOR INTRODUCTION -
19	AN ORDINANCE - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL
20	NO. 6, 2020, AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO.
21	59, 2019 ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE
22	OF THE COUNCIL NO. 17, 1994 ENTITLED 'AN
23	ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) AUTHORIZING THE
24	GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO ENACT
25	'A WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION FEE' FOR THE

	56
1	PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO COVER THE
2	WASTE DISPOSAL AND COLLECTION COSTS INCURRED BY
3	THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE DISPOSAL OF
4	REFUSE'" BY IMPOSING A WASTE DISPOSAL AND
5	COLLECTION FEE OF \$300.00 FOR CALENDAR YEAR
6	2021 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE
7	AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER AND TO AMEND THE
8	TIMELINE FOR PAYMENTS ALLOWING CHANGE IN THE
9	MECHANISM OF BILLING, UPDATE THE REQUIREMENTS
10	FOR EXONERATIONS AND INCREASE PENALTIES FOR
11	VIOLATIONS.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll
13	entertain a motion that Item 5-D be introduced
14	into its proper committee.
15	MR. DONAHUE: So moved.
16	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? On
18	the question, I think it would probably
19	well, we can discuss this on Thursday with the
20	Mayor and the Business Administrator. As I
21	mentioned though, I just want to make sure that
22	these bills that would go out the real
23	estate tax bills that would go out if they go
24	out to a somebody that does not have a
25	structure on their land, a vacant property that

	1
	5
1	they're not going to get charged \$300.
2	Now, you know, I guess they could
3	come back and say well they're exempt but then
4	you have to go through a whole process. And
5	I'd hate to put them people through that
6	annoying process of having to go down, prove
7	that they don't have a structure on their
8	property.
9	I just feel like it would be a huge
10	mess. Maybe that's not the case, but I think
11	we should definitely double-check on that
12	before we pass this. And the other thing too
13	we did see a I think everyone got a copy of
14	it. We did get a copy of what a sample bill
15	will look like.
16	ATTY. HAYES: Yes.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, so just as an
18	example of what it would look like. Anyone
19	else on the question? At this time I'll
20	entertain a or, I'm sorry. All those in
21	favor of introduction signify by saying aye.
22	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
23	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
24	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
25	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.

_	
	58
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
2	ayes have it and so moved.
3	MS. REED: 5-E. FOR INTRODUCTION -
4	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
5	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT
6	APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF
7	OF THE BLACK SCRANTON PROJECT TO THE
8	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE
9	COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL
10	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE
11	HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT
12	OF \$135,893.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS
13	"BLACK SCRANTON PROJECT CENTER FOR ARTS &
14	CULTURE" LOCATED AT 1902 NORTH MAIN AVENUE,
15	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING
16	THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS
17	OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PA, TO ACCEPT THE
18	GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER
19	INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND
20	COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
21	PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN
22	THE AMOUNT OF \$135,893.00 AWARDED BY THE
23	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: At this time, I'll
25	entertain a motion that Item 5-E be introduced

59 into its proper committee. 1 DR. ROTHCHILD: So moved. 2 3 MR. MCANDREW: Second. 4 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? 5 DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes, on the question, I'm happy to see this grant 6 application on our agenda tonight. I think the 7 8 Black Scranton Project has been doing really 9 great work for our City. 10 And I would love to see them have a 11 center and a place where black and brown people 12 of Scranton could go for programs and 13 resources. So I think that this grant would be 14 really helpful in allowing them to do that and 15 to provide the types of services and programs 16 that they're interested in doing. 17 And I did take a look through the 18 proposal. And I think there is some really 19 great meaningful ideas in there. 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. Anyone 21 else on the question? 22 MR. SCHUSTER: Also on the question, 23 I used to live down in this neighborhood here. 24 I mean, the building is something that I've 25 driven past every day. Did -- was this

	60
1	building sold yet or did they acquire this
2	building at this point in time? Do we know
3	that?
4	DR. ROTHCHILD: I believe that it
5	was being donated to them. I believe the
6	building was being donated. That was my
7	understanding.
8	MR. SCHUSTER: Okay. And at that,
9	I imagine the property would be taken from the
10	tax rolls too.
11	DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes. It's a
12	nonprofit organization.
13	MR. GAUGHAN: Anything else? No?
14	Okay. All those in favor of introduction
15	signify by saying aye.
16	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
17	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
18	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
19	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
20	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
21	ayes have it and so moved.
22	MS. REED: 5-F. A RESOLUTION -
23	RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND
24	SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT APPLICATION BY THE
25	CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF GREEN RIDGE

LITTLE LEAGUE TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF 1 PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH 2 3 FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE 4 DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT 5 OF \$121,220.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS 6 "FIELD SAFETY RENOVATION" LOCATED 2630 OLYPHANT 7 8 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING 9 THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 10 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER 11 INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND 12 COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF 13 14 PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$121,220.00 AWARDED BY THE 15 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT. 16 17 MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll entertain a motion that Item 5-F be introduced 18 19 the into its proper committee. 20 MR. DONAHUE: So moved. 21 DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. 22 MR. MCANDREW: Second. 23 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? A11 24 those in favor of introduction signify by 25 saying aye.

-	
	62
1	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
2	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
3	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
4	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
6	ayes have it and so moved.
7	MS. REED: 5-G. FOR INTRODUCTION -
8	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
9	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT
10	APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF
11	OF JOHNSON COLLEGE TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF
12	PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH
13	FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT
14	GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE
15	DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT
16	OF \$158,918.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS
17	"STORM WATER DRAINAGE PROJECT" LOCATED AT 3427
18	N. MAIN AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND
19	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
20	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PA, TO
21	ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE
22	AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT
23	CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE
24	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND
25	UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$158,918.00

	63
1	AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR
2	SUCH PROJECT.
3	MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll
4	entertain a motion that Item 5-G be introduced
5	into its proper committee.
6	MR. DONAHUE: So moved.
7	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? All
9	those in favor of introduction signify by
10	saying aye.
11	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
12	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
13	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
14	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
16	ayes have it and so moved.
17	MS. REED: 5-H. FOR INTRODUCTION -
18	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
19	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT
20	APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF
21	OF LACE BUILDING AFFILIATES, LP TO THE
22	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE
23	COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL
24	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE
25	HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT

64 1 OF \$275,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "SCRANTON LACE ADAPTIVE USE PROJECT" LOCATED 2 3 1315 MEYLERT AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 4 5 CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF 6 SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL 7 8 SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT 9 LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO 10 ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF 11 \$275,000.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF 12 PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: At this time, I'll 14 entertain a motion that Item 5-H be introduced 15 into its proper committee. 16 MR. DONAHUE: So moved. 17 DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? A11 19 those in favor of introduction signify by 20 saying aye. 21 MR. SCHUSTER: Aye. 22 MR. MCANDREW: Aye. 23 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 24 DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye. 25 Opposed? The MR. GAUGHAN: Aye.

ayes have it and so moved.

1

MS. REED: 5-I. FOR INTRODUCTION -2 3 A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT 4 5 APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF OF NEIGHBORWORKS OF NEPA TO THE COMMONWEALTH OF 6 7 PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH 8 FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT 9 GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT 10 OF \$70,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS 11 12 "WEST SCRANTON BUSINESS CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENT PLAN" LOCATED AT 800 BLOCK OF NORTH MAIN 13 14 AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS 15 16 OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO 17 ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL, AND 18 EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE 19 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND 20 21 UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$70,000.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR 22 23 SUCH PROJECT. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll 25 entertain a motion that Item 5-I be introduced

	66
1	into its proper committee.
2	MR. DONAHUE: So moved.
3	MR. SCHUSTER: Second.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? All
5	those in favor of introduction signify by
6	saying aye.
7	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
8	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
9	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
10	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
12	ayes have it and so moved.
13	MS. REED: 5-J. FOR INTRODUCTION -
14	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF. THE
15	EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT
16	APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF
17	OF SCRANTON LIFE REALTY CO.INC. TO THE
18	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE
19	COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL
20	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE
21	HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT
22	OF \$262,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS
23	"SPRUCE STREET HISTORIC RENOVATION" LOCATED AT
24	536 SPRUCE STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND
25	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE

	Π
	67
1	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PA, TO
2	ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE
3	AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT
4	CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE
5	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND
6	UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$262,000.00
7	AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR
8	SUCH PROJECT.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll
10	entertain a motion that Item 5-J be introduced
11	into its proper committee.
12	MR. DONAHUE: So moved.
13	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? All
15	those in favor of introduction signify by
16	saying aye.
17	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
18	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
19	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
20	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
22	ayes have it and so moved.
23	MS. REED: 5-K. FOR INTRODUCTION -
24	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
25	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT

1APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHAL2OF TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE TO THE3COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH T4COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL5SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE6HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUN7OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS8"TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS"9LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON,10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF18PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.	ΗE
2OF TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE TO THE3COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH T4COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL5SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE6HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUN7OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS8"TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS"9LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON,10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	ΗE
3COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH T4COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL5SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE6HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUN7OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS8"TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS"9LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON,10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	
4COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL5SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE6HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUN7OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS8"TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS"9LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON,10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	
5SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE6HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUN7OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS8"TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS"9LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON,10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	Г
6HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUN OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS "TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS" 99LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	Т
7OF \$19,950.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS8"TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS"9LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON,10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	Т
8 "TRIPP PARK MISSY E LEAGUE FIELD RENOVATIONS" 9 LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON, 10 PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 11 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY 12 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, 13 SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA 14 SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT 15 LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 16 ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF 17 \$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	
 9 LOCATED AT 2000 DOROTHY STREET, SCRANTON, 10 PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND 11 OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY 12 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, 13 SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA 14 SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT 15 LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 16 ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF 17 \$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF 	
10PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	
11OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY12SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,13SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	
 SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF 	
 13 SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCA 14 SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT 15 LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA 16 ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF 17 \$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF 	0F
14SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	IF
15LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	L
16ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF17\$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	
17 \$19,950.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF	ΓО
18 PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT	
19 MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll	
20 entertain a motion that Item 5-K be introduce	d
21 into its proper committee.	
22 MR. DONAHUE: So moved.	
DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.	
24 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question?	
25 MR. SCHUSTER: On the question, I'	ł

	69
1	like to ask that Council if we could have Lori
2	write a letter on behalf of the Council if
3	everyone is in agreement support from the
4	Council for this grant.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: Sure. Do you want to
6	make a motion to that effect Kevin, do we
7	have to make a motion to that?
8	MR. SCHUSTER: Possibly a friendly
9	amendment or does it have to be a motion?
10	MR. GAUGHAN: Oh, sorry, Kevin,
11	you're muted.
12	ATTY. HAYES: I don't think you need
13	a motion. I don't think you need an amendment.
14	If you all just agree you want to write a
15	letter and then we could have Lori send one.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. That sounds
17	good to me. Anyone else on the question? All
18	those in favor of introduction signify by
19	saying aye.
20	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
21	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
22	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
23	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
25	ayes have it and so moved.

ſ	
	70
1	MS. REED: 5-L. FOR INTRODUCTION -
2	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF
3	THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT
4	APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF
5	OF UNIVERSITY OF SCRANTON TO THE COMMONWEALTH
6	OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH
7	FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT
8	GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE
9	DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE AMOUNT
10	OF \$315,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE KNOWN AS
11	"MECHANICAL ENGINEERING PROGRAM EQUIPMENT
12	PROJECT" LOCATED AT 800 LINDEN STREET,
13	SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND AUTHORIZING THE
14	MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE CITY OFFICIALS OF
15	THE CITY OF SCRANTON, PA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT,
16	IF SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO
17	A LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND
18	COMMITMENT LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF
19	PENNSYLVANIA TO ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE
20	GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$315,000.00 AWARDED BY
21	THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH
22	PROJECT.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll
24	entertain a motion that Item 5-L be introduced
25	into its proper committee.

71 1 MR. DONAHUE: So moved. MR. MCANDREW: So moved. 2 3 MR. GAUGHAN: Is there a second? DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. 4 5 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? A11 those in favor of introduction signify by 6 saying aye. 7 8 MR. SCHUSTER: Aye. 9 MR. MCANDREW: Aye. 10 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 11 DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The 13 ayes have it and so moved. 14 MS. REED: 5-M. FOR INTRODUCTION -A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING OF 15 16 THE EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT 17 APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON, ON BEHALF 18 OF SCRANTON AREA COMMUNITY FOUNDATION TO THE 19 COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA ACTING THROUGH THE COMMONWEALTH FINANCING AUTHORITY FOR A 20 21 LOCAL SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT, PURSUANT TO THE PA RACE HORSE DEVELOPMENT AND GAMING ACT IN THE 22 23 AMOUNT OF \$63,000.00 FOR THE PROJECT TO BE 24 KNOWN AS "NEPA MOVES" LOCATED AT 615 JEFFERSON 25 AVENUE, SUITE 102, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, AND

	72
1	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
2	CITY OFFICIALS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON,
3	PENNSYLVANIA, TO ACCEPT THE GRANT, IF
4	SUCCESSFUL, AND EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO A LOCAL
5	SHARE ACCOUNT GRANT CONTRACT AND COMMITMENT
6	LETTER WITH THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA TO
7	ACCEPT AND UTILIZE THE GRANT IN THE AMOUNT OF
8	\$63,000.00 AWARDED BY THE COMMONWEALTH OF
9	PENNSYLVANIA FOR SUCH PROJECT.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll
11	entertain a motion that Item 5-M be introduced
12	into its proper committee.
13	MR. MCANDREW: So moved.
14	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
15	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question?
16	Okay. All those in favor of introduction
17	signify by saying aye.
18	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
19	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
20	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
21	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: 5-N. FOR INTRODUCTION -
25	A RESOLUTION - RATIFYING AND APPROVING THE

73 EXECUTION AND SUBMISSION OF THE GRANT 1 APPLICATION BY THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO PENNDOT 2 3 MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION BRIDGE PROJECT GRANT FUNDING TO BE UTILIZED TO REPLACE THREE 4 5 BRIDGES IN THE CITY, NAMELY (1) MARY STREET BRIDGE, (2) HOLLOW AVENUE BRIDGE AND (3) SOUTH 6 WEBSTER AVENUE BRIDGE. 7 8 MR. GAUGHAN: At this time I'll 9 entertain a motion that Item 5-N be introduced 10 into its proper committee. 11 MR. SCHUSTER: So moved. 12 MR. DONAHUE: Second. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? 14 All those in favor of introduction signify by 15 saying aye. MR. SCHUSTER: Aye. 16 17 MR. MCANDREW: Aye. 18 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 19 DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye. 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The 21 ayes have it and so moved. 22 MS. REED: SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. 23 READING BY TITLE - FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 33, 24 2020 - AN ORDINANCE - AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE 25 OF A TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE, SERIES

OF 2021 IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$12,200,000; PROVIDING FOR THE DATED DATE, INTEREST RATE, MATURITY DATE, REDEMPTION PROVISIONS, PAYMENT AND PLACE OF PAYMENT IN RESPECT OF THE NOTE; ACCEPTING THE PROPOSAL ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "B" FROM THE FINANCIAL INSTITUTION NAMED THEREIN FOR THE PURCHASE OF THE NOTE: NAMING A SINKING FUND DEPOSITARY/PAYING AGENT: AUTHORIZING THE PROPER OFFICERS OF THE CITY TO EXECUTE AND DELIVER THE NOTE AND CERTAIN OTHER DOCUMENTS AND CERTIFICATES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH: AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING THE PREPARATION, CERTIFICATION AND FILING OF THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA; SETTING FORTH A FORM OF THE NOTE. MR. GAUGHAN: You've heard reading by title of Item 6-A. What is your pleasure? MR. DONAHUE: I move that Item 6-A pass reading by title. DR. ROTHCHILD: Second. MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? MR. SCHUSTER: On the question,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

74

	75
1	earlier in caucus, Solicitor Hayes, I asked if
2	you had a chance to review the fee schedule.
3	Has the City Solicitor stated that this is the
4	fees here are comparable with any other
5	transactions? And do you, yourself, feel that
6	it's in line with any comparable deals or
7	transactions of this size and nature?
8	ATTY. HAYES: Councilman Schuster,
9	earlier this afternoon the City Clerk
10	circulated the projected or the estimated
11	costs closing costs, which I think are in
12	the neighborhoods of
13	MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, I think we got
14	this in our e-mail.
15	ATTY. HAYES: About \$45,000. I'm
16	going to have to review that. And I will have
17	certainly a report back to you prior to final
18	passage to see whether they are fair and
19	reasonable based on the amount of the TAN,
20	which is I guess 12.2 million. But I don't
21	know without looking more closely into it.
22	MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, I got the
23	e-mail maybe about 3:40 today. So I got a
24	quick glance at it. But I didn't take a deep
25	dive.

r	
	76
1	ATTY. HAYES: Okay, but I will.
2	MR. SCHUSTER: All right. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes, I know I'm almost
5	positive well, it's definitely lower than
6	last year at least the bank fee is lower. But
7	the total fees are a little bit lower than last
8	year according to Business Administrator.
9	And I know from what I remember from
10	past hands that I think this is probably one of
11	the lowest amounts because at one time back in
12	I think 2014, 2015 this was much higher because
13	of some of the financial issues we were having.
14	But Lori could probably pull for you
15	the last couple years' worth of pages of the
16	sources and uses of funds if you wanted to look
17	at that. But I'm almost positive this is
18	probably the lowest that we've seen in a long
19	time.
20	MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, I want to thank
21	Lori. Lori already did that a few days ago.
22	But at that point in time I didn't have the new
23	fees to compare with the old one.
24	MR. GAUGHAN: Oh, okay.
25	ATTY. HAYES: And just the closing,

r	
	77
1	it's just short of \$45,000 in closing costs.
2	So I have to look to see if, you know, whether
3	that's comparable to what's been charged in the
4	past.
5	MR. GAUGHAN: And according to the
6	Business Administrator as of this morning, this
7	was circulated earlier today. The rates were
8	0.01 percent higher than the proposal on the
9	proposal date. So it's gone up 0.01 percent.
10	MR. SCHUSTER: I think they said
11	they would be tracking that a little. Did he
12	say how it was tracking or just that it was
13	.01 higher?
14	MR. GAUGHAN: Yeah, that's all he
15	said, 0.01 percent, which is normal. Okay.
16	Anyone else on the question? All those in
17	favor signify by saying aye.
18	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
19	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
20	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
21	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
23	ayes have it and so moved.
24	MS. REED: SEVENTH ORDER. 7-A. FOR
25	CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR

	78
1	ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 89, 2020 -
2	AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE
3	CITY OFFICIALS TO APPLY FOR AND EXECUTE A GRANT
4	APPLICATION THROUGH THE PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT
5	OF ECONOMIC AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Act 47
6	GRANT PROGRAM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,000.00 TO BE
7	USED TO HIRE A CONSULTANT TO ASSIST THE CITY
8	IN THE RE-DESIGN OF ITS OPERATING SYSTEM.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: What is the
10	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
11	Committee on Finance?
12	MR. SCHUSTER: As Chairperson for
13	the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
14	passage of 7-A.
15	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
17	call, please.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Schuster.
19	MR. SCHUSTER: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.
21	MR. MCANDREW: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchid.
23	DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
25	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

1MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.2MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby decl3Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.4MS. REED: FOR CONSIDERATION BY T5COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION -6FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 - AUTHORIZI7THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT8OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W9STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN10WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR11THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE12DRAWING, C-4 CENTER STREET PARKING.	79
2MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby decl3Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.4MS. REED: FOR CONSIDERATION BY T5COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION -6FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 - AUTHORIZI7THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT8OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W9STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN10WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR11THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	
3Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted.4MS. REED: FOR CONSIDERATION BY T5COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION -6FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 - AUTHORIZI7THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT8OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W9STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN10WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR11THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	
4MS. REED:FOR CONSIDERATION BY T5COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION -6FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 - AUTHORIZI7THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT8OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W9STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN10WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR11THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	are
5 COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY - FOR ADOPTION - 6 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 - AUTHORIZI 7 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT 8 OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W 9 STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN 10 WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR 11 THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	
6 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 31, 2020 - AUTHORIZI 7 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT 8 OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W 9 STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN 10 WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR 11 THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	HE
7 THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO APPROVE THE DESIGNAT 8 OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W 9 STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN 10 WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR 11 THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	
8 OF THE 300 BLOCK OF CENTER STREET AS A ONE-W 9 STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN 10 WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR 11 THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	NG
9 STREET FROM PENN AVENUE TOWARDS WYOMING AVEN 10 WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR 11 THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	ION
10WITH THE SCRANTON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ENFOR11THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	AY
11 THE DESIGNATIONS AS REFLECTED IN THE ATTACHE	UE
	CE
12 DRAWING C A CENTED STREET DARKING	D
DIAWING, C-4 CENTER STREET FARRING.	
13 MR. MCANDREW: I'd like to make a	
14 motion to Amend Item 7-B as follows: Insert	а
15 new Whereas clause, following the 7th Wherea	S
16 clause to read:	
17 WHEREAS, in order to address	
18 concerns raised by neighboring businesses an	d
19 to increase signage, Lackawanna County	
20 Engineer, Frank Summa, prepared a revised	
21 drawing, dated November 4, 2020 for Lackawan	na
22 County Parking, City of Scranton, Lackawanna	
23 County, "Center Street Parking" noted as C-4	_
24 Project No. 2019701.00. A copy of same is	
25 attached hereto as Exhibit "C" with the	

-	
	80
1	original drawing on file in the Legal
2	Department of the City of Scranton; and
3	And in the Now Therefore Clause,
4	change Exhibit "B" to read: Exhibit "C".
5	MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion
6	and there's been a second to Amend Item 7-B.
7	These amendments are necessary due to the
8	schematic revisions that were provided. On the
9	question? Anyone on the question?
10	MR. MCANDREW: Well, I was just
11	going to say it on the actual vote. But I'm
12	just glad that they reached out to the
13	neighboring business, asked for their input
14	and, you know, my understanding is they could
15	live with this now.
16	Prior to this they had some
17	concerns. So before the changes, you know,
18	they felt they weren't getting a fair shake
19	with regards to issues that would affect their
20	business because of the change, you know, the
21	parking and one way. So I'm happy to see that
22	everybody right now can live with this.
23	Maybe we have to look at it again
24	if it doesn't work out. I'm happy now that
25	this occurred so I could vote yes for it.

	81
1	MR. GAUGHAN: Anyone else on the
2	question? All those in favor of introduction
3	signify by saying aye.
4	MR. SCHUSTER: Aye.
5	MR. MCANDREW: Aye.
6	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
7	DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
9	ayes have it and so moved.
10	What is the recommendation of the
11	Chairperson for the Committee on Public Safety?
12	MR. MCANDREW: As Chairperson for
13	the Committee on Public Safety, I recommend
14	final passage of Item 7-B.
15	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: As amended.
17	ATTY. HAYES: As amended.
18	MR. MCANDREW: Sorry, as amended.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: That's okay. Is there
20	a second? I'm sorry.
21	DR. ROTHCHILD: Second.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
23	call, please.
24	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Schuster.
25	MR. SCHUSTER: Yes.

	82
1	MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew.
2	MR. MCANDREW: Yes.
3	MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild.
4	DR. ROTHCHILD: Yes.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
6	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
7	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
9	Item 7-B as amended legally and lawfully
10	adopted.
11	Before we adjourn, once again we
12	will have a budget work session this Thursday
13	at 6 p.m. It will be broadcast on YouTube and
14	ECTV so anyone who's interested can watch.
15	We'll have a public hearing December
16	1st at 5:45 p.m., so the public can submit
17	their comments on the budget. They could also
18	submit them for next Tuesday at our regular
19	Council meeting as well. So again, this
20	Thursday 6 p.m.
21	You could take a look at the budget
22	by looking at our agenda at Scrantonpa.gov
23	under the Council web page. And we'd like to
24	hear your comments, if you have any. If there
25	is no further business, I'll entertain a motion

		83
1	to adjourn.	
2	MR. DONAHUE: Motion to adjourn.	
3	MR. GAUGHAN: This meeting's	
4	adjourned. Thank you everyone.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

-		
		84
1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>	
2		
3	I hereby certify that the proceedings and	
4	evidence are contained fully and accurately in the	
5	notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this	сору
6	is a correct transcript of the same to the best of	mу
7	ability.	
8		
9		
10	Maria McCool, RPR	
11	Official Court Reporter	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does	not
21	apply to any reproduction of the same by any means	
22	unless under the direct control and/or supervision	of
23	the certifying reporter.)	
24		
25		